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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The report provided herein represents the Development Charge Background Study for Haldimand County required by the Development Charges Act (DCA). This report has been prepared in accordance with the methodology required under the DCA. The contents include the following:

- Chapter 1 - Overview of the legislative requirements of the Act;
- Chapter 2 - Review of present DC policies of the County;
- Chapter 3 - Summary of the residential and non-residential growth forecasts for the County;
- Chapter 4 - Approach to calculating the development charge;
- Chapter 5 - Review of historic service standards and identification of future capital requirements to service growth and related deductions and allocations;
- Chapter 6 - Calculation of the development charges;
- Chapter 7 - Development charge policy recommendations and rules; and
- Chapter 8 - By-law implementation.

2. Development charges provide for the recovery of growth-related capital expenditures from new development. The Development Charges Act is the statutory basis to recover these charges. The methodology is detailed in Chapter 4; a simplified summary is provided below:
1) Identify amount, type and location of growth;
2) Identify servicing needs to accommodate growth;
3) Identify capital costs to provide services to meet the needs;
4) Deduct:

- Grants, subsidies and other contributions;
- Benefit to existing development;
- Statutory $10 \%$ deduction (soft services);
- Amounts in excess of 10-year historic service calculation;
- DC reserve funds (where applicable);

5) Net costs are then allocated between residential and non-residential benefit; and
6) Net costs divided by growth to provide the DC charge.
3. The growth forecast (Chapter 3) on which the county-wide development charge is based, projects the following population, housing and non-residential floor area for the 10-year (2014-2023), 20-year (2014-2033) and 20 year urban (2014-2033) projections.

| Measure | 10 Year <br> $2014-2024$ | 20 Year <br> $2014-2034$ | 20 Year <br> Urban |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Net) Population Increase | 4,854 | 11,468 | 10,596 |
| Residential Unit Increase | 2,284 | 5,063 | 4,202 |
| Non-Residential Gross Floor Area Increase $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $1,200,850$ | $2,778,700$ | $2,778,700$ |

Source: Watson \& Associates Economists Ltd. Forecast 2014
4. On August 24, 2009, Haldimand County passed By-law 1029/09 under the Development Charges Act, 1997. The by-law imposes development charges on residential and nonresidential uses. By-law 1029/09 will expire on August 24, 2014. The County is undertaking a development charge public process and anticipates passing a new by-law in advance of the expiry date. The mandatory public meeting has been set for April 28, 2014 with adoption of the by-law anticipated on May 20, 2014.
5. The development charges currently in effect are $\$ 10,152$ for county-wide single detached dwelling units for full services. Non-residential charges are $\$ 3.45$ per square foot for full services. This report has undertaken a recalculation of the charge based on future identified needs (presented in Schedule ES-1 for residential and non-residential). Charges have been provided on a County-wide basis for all services except water and wastewater services which are provided on an area specific basis. The corresponding single-detached unit charge is $\$ 11,039$ and the non-residential charge is $\$ 2.83$ per square foot of building area. These rates are submitted to Council for its consideration.
6. The Development Charges Act requires a summary be provided of the gross capital costs and the net costs to be recovered over the life of the by-law. This calculation is provided by service and is presented in Table 6-5. A summary of these costs is provided below:

| Total gross expenditures planned over the next five years | $\$ 37,644,600$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Less: |  |
| Benefit to existing development | $\$ 20,292,735$ |
| Post planning period benefit | $\$$ |
| neligible re: Level of Service | $\$ 0$ |
| Mandatory 10\% deduction for certain services | $\$$ |
| Grants, subsidies and other contributions | $\$ 12,935$ |
| Net Costs to be recovered from development charges | $\$ 15,791, \mathbf{1 7 4}$ |

Of the total, $\$ 255,356$ is growth-related but outside of the forecast period. As well, $\$ 21.85$ million (or an annual amount of $\$ 4.37$ million) will need to be contributed from taxes and rates, or other sources.

Based on the previous table, the County plans to spend $\$ 37.64$ million over the next five years, of which $\$ 15.79$ million (42\%) is recoverable from development charges. Of this net amount, $\$ 11.67$ million is recoverable from residential development and $\$ 2.15$ million from non-residential development. It is noted also that any exemptions or reductions in the charges would reduce this recovery further.
7. Considerations by Council - The background study represents the service needs arising from residential and non-residential growth over the forecast periods. The following services are calculated based on the anticipated development to occur for the 20 year urban growth:

- Stormwater Drainage and Control Services;
- Water Services; and
- Wastewater Services.

The following County-wide services are calculated based on a 20-year forecast:

- Public Works - Buildings and Fleet;
- Roads and Related; and
- Fire Protection Services.

All other County-wide services are calculated based on a 10-year forecast. These include Leisure Services, Parking Services, Cemeteries, Library Board, Ambulance and General Government. However, Council will consider the findings and recommendations provided in the report and, in conjunction with public input, approve such policies and rates it deems appropriate. These directions will refine the draft DC by-law which is appended in Appendix F. These decisions may include:

- adopting the charges and policies recommended herein;
- considering additional exemptions to the by-law; and
- considering reductions in the charge by class of development (obtained by removing certain services on which the charge is based and/or by a general reduction in the charge).

TABLE ES-1
SCHEDULE OF DEVELOPMENT CHARGES

| Service | RESIDENTIAL |  |  |  | NON-RESIDENTIAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single and SemiDetached Dwelling | Apartments - 2 Bedrooms + | Apartments Bachelor and 1 Bedroom | Other Multiples | (per $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of Gross Floor Area) |
| Municipal Wide Services: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roads and Related | 1,325 | 906 | 625 | 1,149 | 0.58 |
| Public Works - Buildings \& Fleet | 198 | 135 | 93 | 172 | 0.08 |
| Parking Services | 76 | 52 | 36 | 66 | 0.04 |
| Fire Protection Services | 889 | 608 | 419 | 771 | 0.38 |
| Leisure Services | 3,909 | 2,672 | 1,843 | 3,389 | 0.37 |
| Library Board | 650 | 444 | 306 | 564 | 0.06 |
| General Government | 192 | 131 | 91 | 166 | 0.09 |
| Cemeteries | 111 | 76 | 52 | 96 | 0.05 |
| Ambulance | 115 | 79 | 54 | 100 | 0.05 |
| Total Municipal Wide Services | 7,465 | 5,103 | 3,519 | 6,473 | 1.70 |
| Urban Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stormwater Drainage and Control Services | 259 | 177 | 122 | 225 | 0.10 |
| Wastewater Services | 1,773 | 1,212 | 836 | 1,537 | 0.55 |
| Water Services | 1,542 | 1,054 | 727 | 1,337 | 0.48 |
| Total Urban Services | 3,574 | 2,443 | 1,685 | 3,099 | 1.13 |
| GRAND TOTAL RURAL AREA | 7,465 | 5,103 | 3,519 | 6,473 | 1.70 |
| GRAND TOTAL URBAN AREA | 11,039 | 7,546 | 5,204 | 9,572 | 2.83 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Purpose of this Document

This background study has been prepared pursuant to the requirements of the Development Charges Act, 1997 (s.10) and, accordingly, recommends new development charges and policies for Haldimand County.

The County retained Watson \& Associates Economists Ltd. (Watson), to undertake the development charges (DC) study process in 2013. Watson worked with County staff in preparing the DC analysis and policy recommendations.

This development charge background study, containing the proposed development charge bylaw, will be distributed to members of the public in order to provide interested parties with sufficient background information on the legislation, the study's recommendations and an outline of the basis for these recommendations.

This report has been prepared, in the first instance, to meet the statutory requirements applicable to the County's development charge background study, as summarized in Chapter 4. It also addresses the requirement for "rules" (contained in Chapter 7) and the proposed by-law to be made available as part of the approval process (included as Appendix F).

In addition, the report is designed to set out sufficient background on the legislation (Chapter 4), Haldimand's current DC policy (Chapter 2) and the policies underlying the proposed by-law, to make the exercise understandable to those who are involved.

Finally, it addresses post-adoption implementation requirements (Chapter 8) which are critical to the successful application of the new policy.

The Chapters in the report are supported by Appendices containing the data required to explain and substantiate the calculation of the charge. A full discussion of the statutory requirements for the preparation of a background study and calculation of a development charge is provided herein.

### 1.2 Summary of the Process

The public meeting required under Section 12 of the Development Charges Act, 1997, has been scheduled for April 28, 2014. Its purpose is to present the study to the public and to solicit public input. The meeting is also being held to answer any questions regarding the study's purpose, methodology and the proposed modifications to the County's development charges.

In accordance with the legislation, the background study and proposed DC by-law will be available for public review on April 11, 2014.

The process to be followed in finalizing the report and recommendations includes:

- consideration of responses received prior to, at, or immediately following the Public Meeting; and
- finalization of the report and Council consideration of the by-law subsequent to the public meeting.

Figure 1-1 outlines the proposed schedule to be followed with respect to the development charge by-law adoption process.

FIGURE 1-1

## SCHEDULE OF KEY DEVELOPMENT CHARGE PROCESS DATES FOR THE COUNTY OF HALDIMAND

| 1. | Data collection | December 2013 - <br> March 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2. | Public meeting advertisement placed in newspaper(s) | April 7, 2014 |
| 3. | Background study and proposed by-law available to public | April 11, 2014 |
| 4. | Stakeholder meeting | April 17, 2014 |
| 5. | Public meeting of Council | April 28, 2014 |
| 6. | Council considers adoption of background study and passage of by-law | May 20, 2014 |
| 7. | Newspaper notice given of by-law passage | By 20 days after passage |
| 8. | Last day for by-law appeal | 40 days after passage |
| 9. | County makes pamphlet available (where by-law not appealed) | By 60 days after in force <br> date |

## 2. CURRENT HALDIMAND COUNTY POLICY

## 2. CURRENT HALDIMAND COUNTY POLICY

### 2.1 Schedule of Charges

On August 24, 2009, the County of Haldimand passed By-law 1029/09 under the Development Charges Act, 1997. The by-law imposes development charges for residential and nonresidential uses.

The table below provides the rates currently in effect, as at August 24, 2013.

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT CHARGE BY SERVICE AND UNIT TYPE

| Service | Calculated Charge By Unit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singles/Semis | Townhouses | Multiples Duplexes |
| County-wide Charges Per Unit | \$5,658.62 | \$3,680.83 | \$2,285.29 |
| Water Charges Per Unit | \$1,404.05 | \$913.07 | \$567.34 |
| Wastewater Charges Per Unit | \$3,090.20 | \$2,010.63 | \$1,247.10 |
| Total Charges Per Unit | \$10,152.87 | \$6,604.53 | \$4,099.73 |

NON-RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT CHARGE BY SERVICE AND PER SQUARE METREISQUARE FOOT OF GFA

| Service | Charge (per sq. m) | Charge (per sq. ft) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| County-wide Charges Per Sq. Metre | $\$ 5.42$ | $\$ 0.48$ |
| Water Charges Per Sq. Metre | $\$ 13.34$ | $\$ 1.25$ |
| Wastewater Charges Per Sq. Metre | $\$ 18.58$ | $\$ 1.72$ |
| Total Charges Per Sq. Metre | $\$ 37.34$ | $\$ 3.45$ |

### 2.2 Services Covered

The following are the services covered under By-law 1029/09:

- County-Wide Services:
o Library Services;
o Fire Services;
o Leisure Services;
o Public Works - Buildings and Fleet;
o Ambulance Services;
o General Government;
o Roads and Related; and
- Urban Services:
o Stormwater;
o Water; and
o Wastewater.


### 2.3 Timing of DC Calculation and Payment

Development charges are calculated and payable in full on the date that the first building permit is issued in relation to a building or structure on land to which a development charge applies.

### 2.4 Indexing

By-law 1029/09 provides for the annual indexing of charges on the by-law anniversary date each year, without amendment to the by-law, in accordance with the prescribed index in the Act.

### 2.5 Redevelopment Allowance

Development charges payable in a redevelopment shall be calculated by reducing the development charges payable by the maximum number of former residential units or by the maximum non-residential former gross floor area (as the case may be) which had been on the same property within ten years of an action or approval required in Section 4 of this by-law, but has since been demolished. Any such reduction shall not produce a refund.

### 2.6 Exemptions

The following exemptions are provided under By-law 1029-09:
a) Statutory exemptions:

- a board of education;
- a municipality or a local board thereof;
- an enlargement to an existing dwelling unit;
- one or two additional dwelling units in an existing single detached dwelling; or
- one additional dwelling unit in any other existing residential building.
b) Non-Statutory exemptions:
- a parking garage exclusively devoted to parking, including the construction of an outdoor parking lot at grade, or the construction of a parking garage above or below grade;
- development which is or would be classified under the Assessment Act as exempt from taxation for realty taxes such as a place or worship; and
- non-residential agricultural buildings, which are not industrial or commercial in nature.


## 3. ANTICIPATED DEVELOPMENT IN HALDIMAND COUNTY

## 3. ANTICIPATED DEVELOPMENT IN HALDIMAND COUNTY

### 3.1 Requirement of the Act

Chapter 4 provides the methodology for calculating a development charge as per the Development Charges Act, 1997. Figure 4-1 presents this methodology graphically. It is noted in the first box of the schematic that in order to determine the development charge that may be imposed, it is a requirement of Section 5 (1) of the Development Charges Act that "the anticipated amount, type and location of development, for which development charges can be imposed, must be estimated."

The growth forecast contained in this chapter (with supplemental tables in Appendix A) provides for the anticipated development for which the Haldimand County will be required to provide services, over a 10-year (2014-2024), and 20-year (2014-2034) time horizon.

### 3.2 Basis of Population, Household and Non-Residential Gross Floor Area Forecast

The DC growth forecast has been developed as part of the Haldimand County 2014 Population, Household and Employment Forecast Update. The forecast supersedes the 2009 Haldimand County growth forecast prepared by Hemson Consulting Ltd. The DC growth forecast provided herein is consistent with Schedule 3 of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (Places to Grow), prepared by the Ministry of Infrastructure, Office consolidation June 2013. ${ }^{1}$

### 3.3 Summary of Growth Forecast

A detailed analysis of the residential and non-residential growth forecasts is provided in Appendix A and the methodology employed is illustrated in Figure 3-1. The discussion provided herein summarizes the anticipated growth for the County and describes the basis for the forecast. The results of the residential growth forecast analysis are summarized in Table 3-1 below, and Schedule 1 in Appendix A.

The population is summarized both including and excluding the net Census undercount. The Census undercount represents the net number of persons missed during Census enumeration. As of 2011, the net Census undercount is estimated at approximately $4 \%$. It is noted that the DC calculation has been derived based on the population forecast excluding the net Census undercount. Accordingly, all references provided herein to the population forecast exclude the Census undercount.

[^0]As identified in Table 3-1 and Schedule 1, the County's population is anticipated to reach approximately 50,000 by $2024,56,600$ by 2034 and 61,700 by 2041 , resulting in an increase of 4,900, 11,500 and 16,500 persons, respectively, over the 10-year, 20-year and long-term (2014 to 2041) forecast periods. ${ }^{1}$

FIGURE 3-1
HOUSEHOLD FORMATION - BASED POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD PROJECTION MODEL DEMAND SUPPLY


[^1]TABLE 3-1

## HALDIMAND COUNTY

RESIDENTIAL GROWTH FORECAST SUMMARY

| Year | Population <br> (Excluding <br> Census <br> Undercount) | Population (Including Census Undercount) ${ }^{1}$ | Housing Units |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Singles \& SemiDetached | Multiple <br> Dwellings ${ }^{2}$ | Apartments ${ }^{3}$ | Other | Total Households | Person Per Unit (PPU) |
| Mid 2001 | 43,728 | 45,480 | 13,650 | 640 | 1,175 | 95 | 15,560 | 2.81 |
| Mid 2006 | 45,212 | 47,020 | 14,510 | 685 | 1,085 | 40 | 16,320 | 2.77 |
| Mid 2011 | 44,874 | 46,670 | 14,785 | 910 | 1,085 | 50 | 16,830 | 2.67 |
| Mid 2014 | 45,113 | 46,920 | 15,074 | 966 | 1,104 | 50 | 17,194 | 2.62 |
| Mid 2024 | 49,967 | 51,970 | 16,787 | 1,355 | 1,287 | 50 | 19,479 | 2.57 |
| Mid 2034 | 56,581 | 58,840 | 18,812 | 1,835 | 1,560 | 50 | 22,257 | 2.54 |
| Mid 2001 - Mid 2006 | 1,484 | 1,540 | 860 | 45 | -90 | -55 | 760 |  |
| Mid 2006 - Mid 2011 | -338 | -350 | 275 | 225 | 0 | 10 | 510 |  |
| Mid 2011 - Mid 2014 | 239 | 250 | 289 | 56 | 19 | 0 | 364 |  |
| Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 4,854 | 5,050 | 1,713 | 388 | 183 | 0 | 2,285 |  |
| Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 11,468 | 11,920 | 3,738 | 869 | 456 | 0 | 5,063 |  |

Source: Watson \& Associates Economists Ltd., 2014. Derived from Schedule 3 of Consolidated Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH) - Places to Grow, June 2013 (2031 B)

1. Census Undercount estimated at approximately 4\%. Note: Population Including the Undercount has been rounded.
2. Includes townhomes and apartments in duplexes.
3. Includes bachelor, 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom+ apartments.

FIGURE 3-2
2014-2034 HOUSING FORECAST ${ }^{1}$

$\square$ Historical $\quad$ Low Density $\quad \square$ Medium Density $\quad \square$ High Density —Historical Average

Source: Historical housing activity (2002-2012) derived from County of Haldimand Planning Department, 2013

1. Growth Forecast represents start year.

## 1. Unit Mix (Appendix A - Schedules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7)

- The unit mix for the County was derived from the 2014 Haldimand County Population, Household and Employment Update, which considered historical development activity (as per Schedule 7), active development applications (as per Schedule 6), and discussions with planning staff regarding anticipated development trends for the County.
- Based on the above indicators, the long-term (2014-2034) household growth forecast is comprised of a housing unit mix of approximately $74 \%$ low density (single detached and semi-detached), $17 \%$ medium density (multiples except apartments) and $9 \%$ high density (bachelor, 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom apartments).

2. Geographic Location of Residential Development (Appendix A - Schedules 2 and 6)

- Schedule 2 summarizes the anticipated amount, type and location of development for Haldimand County by settlement and remaining rural area, while Schedule 6 summarizes the potential housing supply for the urban housing units in the development process.
- In accordance with forecast demand and available land supply, housing growth has been allocated to the following urban settlement areas over the 2014 to 2034 forecast period:
o Caledonia-53\%
o Cayuga-6\%
o Dunnville-7\%
o Hagersville-13\%
o Townsend-1\%
o Jarvis-3\%
o Remaining Rural - 17\%


## 3. Planning Period

- Short and longer-term time horizons are required for the DC process. The DCA limits the planning horizon for certain services, such as parks, recreation and libraries, to a 10-year planning horizon. Roads, water and wastewater services utilize a longer planning period.


## 4. Population in New Units (Appendix A - Schedules 2 through 5)

- The number of permanent housing units to be constructed in Haldimand County during the short-term and long-term periods are presented on Figure 3-2. Over
the 20 -year forecast period, the County is anticipated to average 253 new housing units per year.
- Population in new units is derived from Schedules 3, 4, and 5, which incorporate historical development activity, anticipated units (see unit mix discussion) and average persons per unit by dwelling type for new units.
- Schedule 8a summarizes the average number of persons per unit (PPU) for the new housing units by age from the single and semi-detached dwelling based on a 2006 custom Census data for the County. Due to data limitations, medium and high density PPU's were derived from the Province of Ontario as outlined in Schedule $8 b$. The total calculated PPU for all density types has been adjusted to account for the downward PPU trend which has been recently experienced in both new and older units, largely due to the aging of the population. Adjusted 20year average PPU's by dwelling type are as follows:
o Low density:
3.16
o Medium density: $\quad 2.74$
o High density: $\quad 1.86$


## 5. Existing Units and Population Change (Appendix A - Schedules 3, 4 and 5)

- Existing households as of mid-2014 are based on the 2011 Census households, plus estimated residential units constructed between mid-2011 and mid-2013 assuming a 6-month lag between construction and occupancy (see Schedule 3).
- The decline in average occupancy levels for existing housing units is calculated in Schedules 3 through 5, by aging the existing population over the forecast period. The forecast population decline in existing households over the 2014 to 2034 forecast period is estimated at approximately 3,560 .


## 6. Employment (Appendix A, Schedules 10a, 10b, 10c and 11)

- Employment projections are largely based on the activity rate method, which is defined as the number of jobs in a municipality divided by the number of residents. Key employment sectors include primary, industrial, commercial/ population-related, institutional, and work at home, which are considered individually below.
- Haldimand County's estimated $2011^{1}$ employment by place of work is outlined in Schedule 10a. The estimated 2011 employment base is comprised of the following sectors:

0855 primary (approx. 5\%);
0 2,010 work at home employment (approx. 13\%);

[^2]0 5,470 industrial (approx. 35\%);
0 4,490 commercial/population related (approx. 28\%); and
o 3,050 institutional (approx. 19\%).

- The 2011 employment estimate by usual place of work, including work at home, is estimated at 15,880 . An additional 2,670 employees have been identified for the County in 2011 that have no fixed place of work (NFPOW). ${ }^{1}$ The 2011 employment base, including NFPOW, totals approximately 18,540 .
- Total employment, including work at home and NFPOW, for Haldimand County is anticipated to reach approximately 20,740 by mid-2024 and 22,850 by mid-2034. This represents an employment increase of 1,840 for the 10-year forecast period, and 3,950 for the 20-year forecast period.
- Schedule 10b, Appendix A, summarizes the employment forecast, excluding work at home employment and NFPOW employment, which is the basis for the DC employment forecast. The impact on municipal services from work at home employees have already been included in the population forecast. The impacts of municipal services related to NFPOW employees have largely been included in the employment forecast by usual place of work (i.e. employment and GFA in the retail and accommodation sector generated from NFPOW construction employment). Furthermore, since these employees have no fixed work address, they cannot be captured in the non-residential gross floor area (GFA) calculation. Accordingly, work at home and NFPOW employees have been removed from the DC employment forecast and calculation.
- Total employment for Haldimand County (excluding work at home and NFPOW employment) is anticipated to reach approximately 15,320 by mid-2024, and 16,930 by mid-2034. This represents an employment increase of 1,240 and 2,860 , over the 10-year and 20-year forecast periods, respectively.
- In accordance with forecast demand and available land supply, total employment growth (excluding work at home and NFPOW employment) has been allocated to the following urban settlement areas over the 2014 to 2034 forecast period (Refer to Schedule 10c):
o Caledonia-62.5\%
o Cayuga-3.7\%
o Dunnville-15.1\%
o Hagersville-5.3\%
o Townsend-0.3\%
o Jarvis-8.5\%
o Remaining Rural - 4.6\%

[^3]
## 7. Non-Residential Sq.ft. Estimates (Gross Floor Area (GFA), Appendix A, Schedule 10b)

- Square footage estimates were calculated in Schedule 10b based on the following employee density assumptions:
o 1,300 sq.ft. per employee for industrial;
o 550 sq.ft. per employee for commercial/population-related; and
o 700 sq.ft. per employee for institutional employment.
- The County-wide incremental Gross Floor Area (GFA) increase is anticipated to be approximately 1.2 million sq.ft. over the 10 -year, and 2.78 million sq.ft. over the 20-year forecast period.
- In terms of percentage growth, the long-term incremental GFA forecast by sector is broken down as follows:
o industrial - (approx. 72\%);
o commercial/population-related - (approx. 14\%); and
o institutional - (approx. 14\%).
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## 4. THE APPROACH TO CALCULATION OF THE CHARGE

### 4.1 Introduction

This chapter addresses the requirements of s.s.5(1) of the DCA, 1997 with respect to the establishment of the need for service which underpins the development charge calculation. These requirements are illustrated schematically in Figure 4-1.

### 4.2 Services Potentially Involved

Table 4-1 lists the full range of municipal service categories which are provided within the County.

A number of these services are defined in s.s.2(4) of the DCA, 1997 as being ineligible for inclusion in development charges. These are shown as "ineligible" on Table 4-1. Two ineligible costs defined in s.s.5(3) of the DCA are "computer equipment" and "rolling stock with an estimated useful life of (less than) seven years..." In addition, local roads are covered separately under subdivision agreements and related means (as are other local services). Services which are potentially eligible for inclusion in the County's development charge are indicated with a "Yes."

### 4.3 Increase in the Need for Service

The development charge calculation commences with an estimate of "the increase in the need for service attributable to the anticipated development," for each service to be covered by the by-law. There must be some form of link or attribution between the anticipated development and the estimated increase in the need for service. While the need could conceivably be expressed generally in terms of units of capacity, s.s.5(1)3, which requires that Municipal Council indicate that it intends to ensure that such an increase in need will be met, suggests that a project-specific expression of need would be most appropriate.

### 4.4 Local Service Policy

Some of the need for services generated by additional development consists of local services related to a plan of subdivision. As such, they will be required as a condition of subdivision agreements or consent conditions.

Figure 4-1
The Process of Calculating a Development Charge under the DCA, 1997


TABLE 4-1
CATEGORIES OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES
TO BE ADDRESSED AS PART OF THE CALCULATION

| CATEGORIES OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES | ELIGIBILITY FOR INCLUSION IN THE DC CALCULATION | SERVICE COMPONENTS | MAXIMUM POTENTIAL DC RECOVERY \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Services Related to a Highway | Yes <br> Yes <br> No <br> Yes <br> Yes | 1.1 Arterial roads <br> 1.2 Collector roads <br> 1.3 Area municipal roads <br> 1.4 Traffic signals <br> 1.5 Sidewalks and streetlights | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 100 \\ 0 \\ 100 \\ 0-100 \end{array}$ |
| 2. Other Transportation Services | n/a <br> n/a <br> Yes <br> n/a <br> Yes <br> Yes <br> n/a <br> n/a | 2.1 Transit vehicles <br> 2.2 Other transit infrastructure <br> 2.3 Municipal parking spaces - indoor <br> 2.4 Municipal parking spaces - outdoor <br> 2.5 Works Yards <br> 2.6 Rolling stock ${ }^{1}$ <br> 2.7 Ferries <br> 2.8 Airport facilities | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 90 \\ 90 \end{array}$ |
| 3. Storm Water Drainage and Contro Services | Yes <br> Yes <br> Yes | 3.1 Main channels and drainage trunks <br> 3.2 Channel connections <br> 3.3 Retention/detention ponds | $\begin{aligned} & 0-100 \\ & 0-100 \\ & 0-100 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. Fire Protection Services | Yes <br> Yes <br> Yes | 4.1 Fire stations <br> 4.2 Fire pumpers, aerials and rescue vehicles <br> 4.3 Small equipment and gear | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Outdoor Recreation Services (i.e. Parks and Open Space) | Ineligible <br> Yes <br> Yes <br> n/a <br> Yes <br> Yes | 5.1 Acquisition of land for parks, woodlots and ESAs <br> 5.2 Development of area municipal parks <br> 5.3 Development of district parks <br> 5.4 Development of County-wide parks <br> 5.5 Development of special purpose parks <br> 5.6 Parks rolling stock ${ }^{1}$ and yards | 0 90 90 90 90 90 |
| 6. Indoor Recreation Services | Yes <br> Yes | 6.1 Arenas, indoor pools, fitness facilities, community centres, etc. (including land) <br> 6.2 Recreation vehicles and equipment ${ }^{1}$ | 90 90 |
| 7. Library Services | Yes Yes | 7.1 Public library space (incl. furniture and equipment) 7.2 Library materials | 90 90 |
| 8. Electrical Power Services | Ineligible Ineligible Ineligible | 8.1 Electrical substations <br> 8.2 Electrical distribution system <br> 8.3 Electrical system rolling stock ${ }^{1}$ | 0 0 0 |
| 9. Provision of Cultural, Entertainment and Tourism Facilities and Convention Centres | Ineligible <br> Ineligible | 9.1 Cultural space (e.g. art galleries, museums and theatres) <br> 9.2 Tourism facilities and convention centres | 0 0 |
| 10. Waste Water | Yes | 10.1 Treatment plants | 100 |

[^4]$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { CATEGORIES OF } \\ \text { MUNICIPAL SERVICES }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { ELIGIBILITY } \\ \text { FOR } \\ \text { INCLUSION IN } \\ \text { THE DC }\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { MAXIMUM } \\ \text { POTENNTAL } \\ \text { DC }\end{array} \\ \text { CALCULATION }\end{array}\right]$
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### 4.5 Capital Forecast

Paragraph 7 of s.s.5(1) of the DCA requires that "the capital costs necessary to provide the increased services must be estimated." The Act goes on to require two potential cost reductions and the Regulation sets out the way in which such costs are to be presented. These requirements are outlined below.

These estimates involve capital costing of the increased services discussed above. This entails costing actual projects or the provision of service units, depending on how each service has been addressed.

The capital costs include:
a) costs to acquire land or an interest therein (including a leasehold interest);
b) costs to improve land;
c) costs to acquire, lease, construct or improve buildings and structures;
d) costs to acquire, lease or improve facilities, including rolling stock (with a useful life of 7 or more years), furniture and equipment (other than computer equipment), materials acquired for library circulation, reference or information purposes;
e) interest on money borrowed to pay for the above-referenced costs;
f) costs to undertake studies in connection with the above-referenced matters; and
g) costs of the development charge background study.

In order for an increase in need for service to be included in the DC calculation, Municipal Council must indicate "...that it intends to ensure that such an increase in need will be met" (s.s. 5 (1)3). This can be done if the increase in service forms part of a Council-approved Official Plan, capital forecast or similar expression of the intention of Council (O.Reg. 82/98 s.3). The capital program contained herein reflects the County's approved and proposed capital budgets and master servicing/needs studies.

### 4.6 Treatment of Credits

Section 8 para. 5 of O.Reg. 82/98 indicates that a development charge background study must set out "the estimated value of credits that are being carried forward relating to the service." s.s. 17 para. 4 of the same Regulation indicates that "...the value of the credit cannot be recovered from future development charges," if the credit pertains to an ineligible service. This implies that a credit for eligible services can be recovered from future development charges. As a result, this provision should be made in the calculation, in order to avoid a funding shortfall with respect to future service needs.

### 4.7 Eligible Debt and Committed Excess Capacity

Section 66 of the DCA, 1997 states that, for the purposes of developing a development charge by-law, a debt incurred with respect to an eligible service may be included as a capital cost, subject to any limitations or reductions in the Act. Similarly, s. 18 of O.Reg. 82/98 indicates that debt with respect to an ineligible service may be included as a capital cost, subject to several restrictions.

In order for such costs to be eligible, two conditions must apply. First, they must have funded excess capacity which is able to meet service needs attributable to the anticipated development. Second, the excess capacity must be "committed," that is, either before or at the time it was created, Council must have expressed a clear intention that it would be paid for by development charges or other similar charges; for example, this may have been done as part of previous development charge processes. It is noted that projects which have been debentured to-date and to which the principal and interest costs need to be recovered are included within the capital detail sheets.

### 4.8 Existing Reserve Funds

Section 35 of the DCA states that:
"The money in a reserve fund established for a service may be spent only for capital costs determined under paragraphs 2 to 8 of subsection 5(1)."

There is no explicit requirement under the DCA calculation method set out in s.s.5(1) to net the outstanding reserve fund balance as part of making the DC calculation; however, s. 35 does restrict the way in which the funds are used in future.

For services which are subject to a per capita based, service level "cap," the reserve fund balance should be applied against the development-related costs for which the charge was imposed, once the project is constructed (i.e. the needs of recent growth). This cost component is distinct from the development-related costs for the next 10-year period, which underlie the DC calculation herein.

The alternative would involve the County spending all reserve fund monies prior to renewing each by-law, which would not be a sound basis for capital budgeting. Thus, the County will use these reserve funds for the County's cost share of applicable development-related projects, which are required but have not yet been undertaken, as a way of directing the funds to the benefit of the development which contributed them (rather than to future development, which will generate the need for additional facilities directly proportionate to future growth).

The County's Development Charge Reserve Fund Balance by service at December 31, 2013 is shown below:

| Service | Totals |
| :--- | ---: |
| Roads and Related | $(\$ 1,306,701.68)$ |
| Public Works - Buildings \& Fleet | $\$ 58,757.67$ |
| Parking Services | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Fire Protection Services | $(\$ 994,723.82)$ |
| Leisure Services | $\$ 1,457,557.38$ |
| Library Board | $(\$ 104,320.00$ |
| General Government | $\$ 0.09)$ |
| Cemeteries | $(\$ 135,090.72)$ |
| Ambulance | $(\$ 540,253.68)$ |
| Stormwater Drainage and Control Services | $(\$ 1,443,979.79)$ |
| Wastewater Services | $(\$ 1,071,834.13)$ |
| Water Services | $(\$ 3,856,167.86)$ |
| Total |  |

### 4.9 Deductions

The DCA, 1997 potentially requires that five deductions be made to the increase in the need for service. These relate to:

- the level of service ceiling;
- uncommitted excess capacity;
- benefit to existing development;
- anticipated grants, subsidies and other contributions; and
- $10 \%$ reduction for certain services.

The requirements behind each of these reductions are addressed as follows:

### 4.9.1 Reduction Required by Level of Service Ceiling

This is designed to ensure that the increase in need included in 4.3 does "...not include an increase that would result in the level of service (for the additional development increment) exceeding the average level of the service provided in the Municipality over the 10-year period immediately preceding the preparation of the background study..." O.Reg. 82.98 (s.4) goes further to indicate that "...both the quantity and quality of a service shall be taken into account in determining the level of service and the average level of service."

In many cases, this can be done by establishing a quantity measure in terms of units as floor area, land area or road length per capita and a quality measure, in terms of the average cost of providing such units based on replacement costs, engineering standards or recognized
performance measurement systems, depending on circumstances. When the quantity and quality factor are multiplied together, they produce a measure of the level of service, which meets the requirements of the Act, i.e. cost per unit.

The average service level calculation sheets for each service component in the DC calculation are set out in Appendix B.

### 4.9.2 Reduction for Uncommitted Excess Capacity

Paragraph 5 of s.s.5(1) requires a deduction from the increase in the need for service attributable to the anticipated development that can be met using the Municipality's "excess capacity," other than excess capacity which is "committed" (discussed above in 4.6).
"Excess capacity" is undefined, but in this case must be able to meet some or all of the increase in need for service, in order to potentially represent a deduction. The deduction of uncommitted excess capacity from the future increase in the need for service would normally occur as part of the conceptual planning and feasibility work associated with justifying and sizing new facilities, e.g. if a road widening to accommodate increased traffic is not required because sufficient excess capacity is already available, then widening would not be included as an increase in need, in the first instance.

### 4.9.3 Reduction for Benefit to Existing Development

This step involves a further reduction in the need, by the extent to which such an increase in service would benefit existing development. The level of services cap in 4.4 is related, but is not the identical requirement. Sanitary, storm and water trunks are highly localized to growth areas and can be more readily allocated in this regard than other services such as roads which do not have a fixed service area.

Where existing development has an adequate service level which will not be tangibly increased by an increase in service, no benefit would appear to be involved. For example, where expanding existing library facilities simply replicates what existing residents are receiving, they receive very limited (or no) benefit as a result. On the other hand, where a clear existing service problem is to be remedied, a deduction should be made accordingly.

In the case of services such as recreation facilities, community parks, libraries, etc., the service is typically provided on a municipal-wide system basis. For example, facilities of the same type may provide different services (i.e. leisure pool vs. competitive pool), different programs (i.e. hockey vs. figure skating) and different time availability for the same service (i.e. leisure skating available on Wednesday in one arena and Thursday in another). As a result, residents will travel to different facilities to access the services they want at the times they wish to use them,
and facility location generally does not correlate directly with residence location. Even where it does, displacing users from an existing facility to a new facility frees up capacity for use by others and generally results in only a very limited benefit to existing development. Further, where an increase in demand is not met for a number of years, a negative service impact to existing development is involved for a portion of the planning period.

### 4.9.4 Reduction for Anticipated Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions

This step involves reducing the capital costs necessary to provide the increased services by capital grants, subsidies and other contributions made or anticipated by Council and in accordance with various rules such as the attribution between the share related to new vs. existing development. That is, some grants and contributions may not specifically be applicable to growth, such as the COMRIF Grant program or where Council targets fundraising as a measure to offset impacts on taxes (O.Reg. 82.98 s .6 ).

### 4.9.5 The 10\% Reduction

Paragraph 8 of s.s.(1) of the DCA requires that, "the capital costs must be reduced by 10 percent." This paragraph does not apply to water supply services, waste water services, storm water drainage and control services, services related to a highway, police and fire protection services. The primary services to which the $10 \%$ reduction does apply include services such as parks, recreation, libraries, childcare/social services, the Provincial Offences Act, ambulance, homes for the aged, health and transit.

The $10 \%$ is to be netted from the capital costs necessary to provide the increased services, once the other deductions have been made, as per the infrastructure costs sheets in Chapter 5.
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### 5.1 Introduction

This chapter outlines the basis for calculating eligible costs for the development charges to be applied on a uniform basis. In each case, the required calculation process set out in s.5(1) paragraphs 2 to 8 in the DCA, 1997 and described in Chapter 4, was followed in determining DC eligible costs.

The nature of the capital projects and timing identified in the Chapter reflects Council's current intention. However, over time, municipal projects and Council priorities change and accordingly, Council's intentions may alter and different capital projects (and timing) may be required to meet the need for services required by new growth.

### 5.2 Service Levels and 10-Year Capital Costs for DC Calculation

This section evaluates the development-related capital requirements for all of the "softer" services over a 10-year planning period. Each service component is evaluated on two format sheets: the average historical 10-year level of service calculation (see Appendix B), which "caps" the DC amounts; and, the infrastructure cost calculation, which determines the potential DC recoverable cost.

### 5.2.1 General Government

The DCA permits the inclusion of studies undertaken to facilitate the completion of the County's capital works program. The County has made provision for the inclusion of new studies undertaken to facilitate this DC process, as well as other studies which benefit growth (in whole or in part). The listing of studies included in the DC includes the following:

- Two Development Charge Studies;
- Population and Employment Forecast Updates;
- Official Plan Amendment;
- Development Permits;
- Comprehensive Zoning By-Law; and
- Dunnville Secondary Plan Implementation.

The cost of these studies is $\$ 462,000$. In addition to these studies; an adjustment for the reserve fund balance deficit has been included for $\$ 104,329$. The net growth-related capital cost, after the mandatory $10 \%$ deduction and the application of the existing reserve balance, is
$\$ 520,129$ and has been included in the development charge. This cost has been allocated $80 \%$ residential and $20 \%$ non-residential based on the incremental growth in population to employment for the 10-year forecast period.
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| Prj.No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post PeriodBenefit | Other Deductions | Net CapitalCost | Less: |  | Subtotal | Less: <br> Other (e.g. 10\% Statutory Deduction) | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit to Existing Development | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions Attributable to New Development |  |  | Total | Residential Share 80\% | NonResidential Share $20 \%$ |
|  | Growth Related Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Development Charge Studies | 2018 | 45,000 | 0 |  | 45,000 | 0 |  | 45,000 | 4,500 | 40,500 | 32,259 | 8,241 |
|  | Population and Employment Forecast Update | 2018 | 21,000 | 0 |  | 21,000 | 0 |  | 21,000 | 2,100 | 18,900 | 15,054 | 3,846 |
|  | Population and Employment Forecast Update | 2023 | 21,000 | 0 |  | 21,000 | 0 |  | 21,000 | 2,100 | 18,900 | 15,054 | 3,846 |
|  | Sec Plan - Cal - Official Plan Amendment | 2016 | 30,000 | 0 |  | 30,000 | 0 |  | 30,000 | 3,000 | 27,000 | 21,506 | 5,494 |
|  | Development Permits - Lakeshore Area \& Urban Downtowns | 2017 | 50,000 | 0 |  | 50,000 | 0 |  | 50,000 | 5,000 | 45,000 | 35,843 | 9,157 |
|  | Comprehensive Zoning By-Law | 2014 | 100,000 | 0 |  | 100,000 | 0 |  | 100,000 | 10,000 | 90,000 | 71,687 | 18,313 |
|  | Development Charge Studies | 2023 | 45,000 | 0 |  | 45,000 | 0 |  | 45,000 | 4,500 | 40,500 | 32,259 | 8,241 |
|  | Dunnville Secondary Plan Implementation - Special Policy Areas | 2017 | 150,000 | 0 |  | 150,000 | 0 |  | 150,000 | 15,000 | 135,000 | 107,530 | 27,470 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reserve Fund Adjustment |  | 104,329 | 0 |  | 104,329 | 0 |  | 104,329 | 0 | 104,329 | 83,100 | 21,229 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 566,329 | 0 | 0 | 566,329 | 0 | 0 | 566,329 | 46,200 | 520,129 | 414,294 | 105,835 |
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### 5.2.2 Leisure Services

With respect to recreation facilities, there are currently several facilities provided by the County amounting to a total of 362,046 sq.ft. of space. The average historic level of service for the previous ten years has been approximately 7.00 sq.ft. of space per capita or an investment of $\$ 1,296$ per capita. Based on this service standard, the County would be eligible to collect $\$ 6,290,007$ from DCs for facility space.

Debenture principal of $\$ 7,522,214$ and discounted interest costs of $\$ 1,905,221$ have been included along with the expansion of Townsend Lions in the amount of $\$ 55,000$. The total gross capital cost to be included is $\$ 9,482,435$ with a post period benefit of $\$ 1,765,349$, benefit to existing development of $\$ 1,457,557$ and $\$ 27,500$ from the Community Vibrancy Fund. The net growth capital cost after the mandatory $10 \%$ deduction is $\$ 6,229,279$ and has been included in the development charge.

At present, the County has 108 vehicles relating to parks vehicles and equipment which provides a level of service of $\$ 56$ per capita or a DC-eligible amount of $\$ 273,474$. The County has identified the need for new vehicles and equipment amounting to $\$ 212,900$. After the $10 \%$ mandatory statutory deduction of 21,290, the net growth related cost to be included in the DC calculation for parks vehicles and equipment is $\$ 191,610$.

The County currently has 624.2 acres of parkland within its jurisdiction. This parkland consists of various sized neighbourhood and community parks. The County has sustained the current level of service over the historic 10-year period (2004-2013), with an average of 13.90 acres of parkland, 3.8 parkland amenities items, 202.8 square feet of parkland amenity buildings and 675.3 linear metres of trails per 1,000 population. Including parkland, parkland amenities (e.g. ball diamonds, playground equipment, soccer fields, etc.) and park trails, the level of service provided is approximately $\$ 1,142$ per capita. When applied over the forecast period, this average level of service translates into a DC-eligible amount of \$5,686,756.

Based on the projected growth over the 10-year forecast period, the County has identified $\$ 2,826,700$ in future growth capital costs for parkland development. These projects include parkland development, trail development, signage, splashpad and secondary plan implementation. The net growth capital cost after the mandatory $10 \%$ deduction is $\$ 2,454,030$.

As the predominant users of outdoor and indoor recreation tend to be residents of the County, the forecast growth-related costs have been allocated $95 \%$ to residential and $5 \%$ to nonresidential.
INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS COVERED IN THE DC CALCULATION

| Prj.No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development2014-2023 | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post Period Benefit | Other Deductions | Net Capital Cost | Less: |  | Subtotal | Less: Other (e.g. 10\% <br> Statutory <br> Deduction) | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Benefit to } \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { Development } \end{gathered}$ | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions |  |  | Total | Residential Share 95\% | Non- Residential Share $5 \%$ |
|  | Arenas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HCCC Growth-Related Debt Charges (Principal) |  | 1,703,814 | 0 |  | 1,703,814 | 0 |  | 1,703,814 |  | 1,703,814 | 1,618,624 | 85,191 |
|  | HCCC Growth-Related Debt Charges (Interest Discounted) |  | 48,190 | 0 |  | 48,190 | 0 |  | 48,190 |  | 48,190 | 45,781 | 2,410 |
|  | Dunnville Arena Growth-Related Debt Charges (Principal) |  | 2,305,200 | 530,196 |  | 1,775,004 | 0 |  | 1,775,004 |  | 1,775,004 | 1,686,254 | 88,750 |
|  | Dunnville Arena Growth-Related Debt Charges (Interest Discounted) |  | 764,259 | 175,780 |  | 588,479 | 0 |  | 588,479 |  | 588,479 | 559,056 | 29,424 |
|  | Cayuga Arena Growth-Related Debt Charges (Principal) |  | 3,513,200 | 808,036 |  | 2,705,164 | 0 |  | 2,705,164 |  | 2,705,164 | 2,569,906 | 135,258 |
|  | Cayuga Arena Growth-Related Debt Charges (Interest Discounted) |  | 1,092,772 | 251,337 |  | 841,434 | 0 |  | 841,434 |  | 841,434 | 799,362 | 42,072 |
|  | Townsend Lions Expansion | 2015 | 55,000 |  |  | 55,000 | 0 | 27,500 | 27,500 | 2,750 | 24,750 | 23,513 | 1,238 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Reserve Fund Balance Adjustment |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1,457,557 |  | $(1,457,557)$ |  | $(1,457,557)$ | (1,384,680) | $(72,878)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 9,482,435 | 1,765,349 | 0 | 7,717,086 | 1,457,557 | 27,500 | 6,232,029 | 2,750 | 6,229,279 | 5,917,815 | 311,464 |
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| Prj. No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development2014-2023 | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post PeriodBenefit | Other Deductions | Net Capital Cost | Less: |  | Subtotal | Less: <br> Other (e.g. <br> $10 \%$ <br> Statutory <br> Deduction) | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Benefit to } \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { Development } \end{array}$ | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions |  |  | Total | Residential Share 95\% | Non- Residential Share 5\% |
| 1 | Provision for Parkland Development \& Amenities |  | 1,092,200 | 0 |  | 1,092,200 | 0 |  | 1,092,200 | 109,220 | 982,980 | 933,831 | 49,149 |
| 2 | Trail Development \& Signage | 2015-2023 | 378,000 | 0 |  | 378,000 | 0 |  | 378,000 | 37,800 | 340,200 | 323,190 | 17,010 |
| 3 | Caledonia River Walk Trail Extension | 2017 | 55,000 | 0 |  | 55,000 | 0 |  | 55,000 | 5,500 | 49,500 | 47,025 | 2,475 |
| 4 | Chippewa Trail (Ph 2 Hald Rd 66-Burke Park) | 2015 | 261,000 | 0 |  | 261,000 | 0 |  | 261,000 | 26,100 | 234,900 | 223,155 | 11,745 |
| 5 | Rotary Trail Trailheads | 2014 | 40,500 | 0 |  | 40,500 | 0 |  | 40,500 | 4,050 | 36,450 | 34,628 | 1,823 |
| 6 | Dunnville Secondary Plan Implementation-County Lands | 2016 | 800,000 | 0 |  | 800,000 | 0 |  | 800,000 | 80,000 | 720,000 | 684,000 | 36,000 |
| 7 | Hagersville Splash Pad | 2015 | 200,000 | 0 |  | 200,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 10,000 | 90,000 | 85,500 | 4,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 2,826,700 | 0 | 0 | 2,826,700 | 0 | 100,000 | 2,726,700 | 272,670 | 2,454,030 | 2,331,329 | 122,702 |
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### 5.2.3 Library Board

The County provides six library facilities which total 38,281 sq.ft. in size. Over the past ten years, the average level of service was 0.85 sq .ft. of space per capita or an investment of $\$ 214$ per capita. Based on this service standard, the County would be eligible to collect approximately $\$ 1,037,979$ from DC's for library facility space (over the ten year period).

Haldimand County provides 187,907 collection materials throughout their library facilities. Over the past ten year, the average level of service is 3.87 collection materials or an investment of $\$ 129$ per capita. The County is eligible to collect approximately $\$ 625,438$ from DCs for library materials (over the ten year period).

The expansions of Cayuga and Dunnville Library facilities have been identified for inclusion in the DC in addition to additional collection materials for all six branches. The gross cost of the projects has been included at a total of $\$ 3,012,500$, with a post period benefit of $\$ 255,356$. A deduction of $\$ 619,297$ has been made to reflect the proportion attributable to existing development, and a further $\$ 250,000$ has been deducted to represent a contribution from the Community Vibrancy Fund. The net growth capital cost after the mandatory $10 \%$ deduction and the allocation of reserve balance of $\$ 224,430$ is $\$ 1,474,632$.

While library usage is predominately residential based, there is some use of the facilities by non-residential users, for the purpose of research. To acknowledge this use of the growthrelated capital costs have been allocated $95 \%$ residential and $5 \%$ non-residential.
INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS COVERED IN THE DC CALCULATION


### 5.2.4 Cemeteries

The County provides for 43.81 hectares of developed cemetery lands. Over the past ten years, the average level of service was 0.9 hectares per 1,000 population, or an investment of $\$ 113$ per capita. Based on this service standard the County would be eligible to collect approximately $\$ 548,114$ from DC's for cemeteries over the ten year period.

Land and siting costs in the amount of $\$ 335,000$ have been identified for inclusions in the DC. The net growth capital cost after the mandatory $10 \%$ deduction is $\$ 301,500$.

Of this cost, $80 \%$ is allocated against residential development and $20 \%$ non-residential development based on the incremental growth in population to employment for the 10-year forecast period.
Haldimand County
Service: Cemeteries

| Prj.No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development2014-2023 | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post PeriodBenefit | Other Deductions | Net Capital Cost | Less: |  | Subtotal | Less: <br> Other (e.g. <br> $10 \%$ <br> Statutory <br> Deduction) | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit to Existing Development | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { Share } \\ 80 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Non- <br> Residential <br> Share <br> $20 \%$ |
|  | Caledonia - Columbarium and Pathways | 2016 | 50,000 | 0 |  | 50,000 | 0 |  | 50,000 | 5,000 | 45,000 | 35,843 | 9,157 |
|  | Hagersville - Columbarium and Pathways | 2016 | 50,000 | 0 |  | 50,000 | 0 |  | 50,000 | 5,000 | 45,000 | 35,843 | 9,157 |
|  | Hagersville - Cemetery Survey/Layout and Drainage | 2017 | 25,000 | 0 |  | 25,000 | 0 |  | 25,000 | 2,500 | 22,500 | 17,922 | 4,578 |
|  | Cayuga - Riverside Columbarium and Pathways | 2017 | 60,000 | 0 |  | 60,000 | 0 |  | 60,000 | 6,000 | 54,000 | 43,012 | 10,988 |
|  | Cayuga - Cemetery Development (500 Plots) | 2018 | 100,000 | 0 |  | 100,000 | 0 |  | 100,000 | 10,000 | 90,000 | 71,687 | 18,313 |
|  | Dunnville - Woodlawn Columbarium and Pathways | 2017 | 50,000 | 0 |  | 50,000 | 0 |  | 50,000 | 5,000 | 45,000 | 35,843 | 9,157 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 335,000 | 0 | 0 | 335,000 | 0 | 0 | 335,000 | 33,500 | 301,500 | 240,151 | 61,349 |

### 5.2.5 Ambulance Services

Haldimand currently operates its ambulance services from 11,871 sq.ft. of facility space, providing for a per capita average level of service of 0.26 sq.ft. per capita or $\$ 51$ per capita. This level of service provides the County with a maximum DC-eligible amount for recovery over the forecast period of $\$ 249,738$.

Ambulance services have a current inventory of 142 vehicles. The total DC-eligible amount calculated for ambulance vehicles over the forecast period is approximately $\$ 105,283$, based on a standard of $\$ 22$ per capita. The combined DC eligible amount for recovery for Ambulance Services is $\$ 355,021$.

Three projects have been identified, a Patient Care Reporting System, FIT Testing Machine and parking lot improvements for Caledonia Ambulance base for a total capital cost of \$175,000. After the allocation of the reserve balance of $\$ 135,091$ and the $10 \%$ deduction of $\$ 12,000$, the net growth capital cost included in the development charge is $\$ 243,091$. The need for two additional ambulance vehicles has also been identified, having a growth capital cost of \$74,000. The net amount for inclusion in the development charge is $\$ 66,600$. The combined net amount for inclusion in the Ambulance development charge is $\$ 309,691$.

This cost has been allocated $80 \%$ residential and $20 \%$ non-residential based on the incremental growth in population to employment for the 10-year forecast period.
INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS COVERED IN THE DC CALCULATION

| Haldimand County Service: Ambulance Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prj.No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development <br> 2014-2023 | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post PeriodBenefit | Other Deductions | Net CapitalCost | Less: |  | Subtotal | Less: Other (e.g. 10\% Statutory Deduction) | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Benefit to } \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { Development } \end{gathered}$ | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { Share } \\ 80 \% \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | Non- <br> Residential <br> Share <br> $20 \%$ |
|  | Patient Care Reporting System | 2014 | 110,000 | 0 |  | 110,000 | 55,000 |  | 55,000 | 5,500 | 49,500 | 39,428 | 10,072 |
|  | FIT Testing Machine | 2015 | 18,000 | 0 |  | 18,000 | 0 |  | 18,000 | 1,800 | 16,200 | 12,904 | 3,296 |
|  | Parking Lot Improvements - Caledonia Ambulance Base | 2015 | 47,000 | 0 |  | 47,000 | 0 |  | 47,000 | 4,700 | 42,300 | 33,693 | 8,607 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reserve Fund Adjustment |  | 135,091 | 0 |  | 135,091 | 0 |  | 135,091 | 0 | 135,091 | 107,603 | 27,488 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 310,091 | 0 | 0 | 310,091 | 55,000 | 0 | 255,091 | 12,000 | 243,091 | 193,627 | 49,464 |

INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS COVERED IN THE DC CALCULATION

| Prj.No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post Period Benefit | Other Deductions | Net Capital Cost | Less: |  | Subtotal | Less: <br> Other (e.g. 10\% <br> Statutory Deduction) | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit to Existing Development | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions Attributable to New Development |  |  | Total | Residential Share 80\% | NonResidential Share $20 \%$ |
|  | First Response Vehicle (Equipment) | 2014 | 37,000 | 0 |  | 37,000 | 0 |  | 37,000 | 3,700 | 33,300 | 26,524 | 6,776 |
|  | First Response Vehicle (Equipment) | 2022 | 37,000 | 0 |  | 37,000 | 0 |  | 37,000 | 3,700 | 33,300 | 26,524 | 6,776 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 74,000 | 0 | 0 | 74,000 | 0 | 0 | 74,000 | 7,400 | 66,600 | 53,048 | 13,552 |

### 5.2.6 Parking Services

The County provides 217,129 square feet of municipal parking space. Over the past ten years, the average level of service was 5.42 square feet of parking per capita or an investment of $\$ 9$ per capita. Based on this service standard, the County would be eligible to collect approximately $\$ 229,934$ from DC's for parking space (over the ten year period).

A provision for municipal parking lots in Caledonia and downtown Cayuga has been included. This provision includes land and approximately 90 new parking spaces. The gross cost of this provision is $\$ 229,900$. After the $10 \%$ deduction of $\$ 22,990$, the net total recoverable is $\$ 206,910$. The growth costs have been allocated $80 \%$ residential and $20 \%$ non-residential based on the incremental growth in population to employment, for the 10-year forecast period.


| Prj.No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate | Post Period Benefit | Net Capital Cost | Less: |  | Subtotal | Less: <br> Other (e.g. $10 \%$ <br> Statutory <br> Deduction) | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit to Existing Development | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions Attributable to New Development |  |  | Total | Residential Share 80\% | NonResidential Share $20 \%$ |
| 1 | Provision for Municipal Parking Lots | 2014-18 | 229,900 | 0 | 229,900 | 0 |  | 229,900 | 22,990 | 206,910 | 164,808 | 42,102 |
|  | in Caledonia (North \& South) and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Downtown Cayuga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Includes land and approximately 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | parking spots |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 229,900 | 0 | 229,900 | 0 | 0 | 229,900 | 22,990 | 206,910 | 164,808 | 42,102 |

Haldimand County
Service Parking Facilities

### 5.3 Service Levels and 20-Year Capital Costs for Haldimand's DC Calculation

This section evaluates the development-related capital requirements for those services with 20year capital costs.

### 5.3.1 Fire Protection Services

Haldimand currently operates its fire services from 59,008 sq.ft. of facility space, providing for a per capita average level of service of 1.29 sq.ft. per capita or $\$ 309$ per capita. This level of service provides the County with a maximum DC-eligible amount for recovery over the forecast period of $\$ 3,546,135$.

Three projects have been identified, an expansion to the Caledonia station, parking lot repairs/replacement and provision for expansions to South Cayuga, Fisherville and Dunnville stations for a total capital cost of $\$ 4,169,000$. After the allocation of the reserve balance of $\$ 994,724$ and a $\$ 1,618,000$ deduction for existing development, the net growth capital cost included in the development charge is $\$ 3,545,724$.

The fire department has a current inventory of 46 vehicles. The total DC-eligible amount calculated for fire vehicles over the forecast period is approximately $\$ 2,537,295$, based on a standard of $\$ 221$ per capita. The need for five additional fire vehicles has been identified, having a growth capital cost of $\$ 1,814,000$ with an existing benefit of $\$ 177,661$. The net amount for inclusion in the development charge is $\$ 1,636,339$.

The fire department provides $\$ 3,642,511$ worth of equipment and gear for the use in fire services. The County currently has a calculated average level of service for the historic 10-year period of $\$ 65.64$ per capita, providing for a DC-eligible amount over the forecast period of $\$ 752,779$ for small equipment and gear.

Based on growth-related needs, the County has identified a provision for $\$ 100,000$ worth of new fire equipment.

These costs are shared between residential and non-residential based on a development land area, resulting in $80 \%$ being allocated to residential development and $20 \%$ being allocated to non-residential development.
INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS COVERED IN THE DC CALCULATION

| Prj .No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development2014-2033 | Timing (year) | Gross <br> Capital Cost <br> Estimate <br> (2014\$) | Post PeriodBenefit | Other Deductions | Net CapitalCost | Less: |  | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Benefit to } \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { Development } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions Attributable to New | Total | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Residential } \\ \text { Share } \\ 80 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Non-Residential <br> Share <br> $20 \%$ |
|  | Caledonia Station Replacement and Expansior (i.e. Locations in South \& North Caledonia) | 2021 | 3,164,000 | 0 |  | 3,164,000 | 1,582,000 |  | 1,582,000 | 1,266,483 | 315,517 |
|  | Provision for future station expansions in South Cayuga, Fisherville, \& Dunnville | 2014-2033 | 945,000 | 0 |  | 945,000 | 0 |  | 945,000 | 756,528 | 188,472 |
|  | Parking Lot Repairs/Replacement | 2020 | 60,000 | 0 |  | 60,000 | 36,000 |  | 24,000 | 19,213 | 4,787 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reserve Fund Adjustment |  | 994,724 | 0 |  | 994,724 | 0 |  | 994,724 | 796,335 | 198,389 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 5,163,724 | 0 | 0 | 5,163,724 | 1,618,000 | 0 | 3,545,724 | 2,838,559 | 707,165 |

INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS COVERED IN THE DC CALCULATION

| Prj .No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post Period Benefit | Other Deductions | Net Capital Cost | Less: |  | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit to Existing Development | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions Attributable to New Development | Total | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Residential } \\ \text { Share } \end{array} \\ 80 \% \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | Non-Residentia Share <br> 20\% |
|  | Aerial (Caledonia) | 2021 | 980,000 | 0 |  | 980,000 | 177,661 |  | 802,339 | 642,319 | 160,020 |
|  | Fire Prevention Vehicle | 2015 | 37,000 | 0 |  | 37,000 | 0 |  | 37,000 | 29,621 | 7,379 |
|  | Fire Prevention Vehicle | 2023 | 37,000 | 0 |  | 37,000 | 0 |  | 37,000 | 29,621 | 7,379 |
|  | Provision for 2 additional trucks for Caledonia Expansion | 2021 | 760,000 | 0 |  | 760,000 | 0 |  | 760,000 | 608,424 | 151,576 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 1,814,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,814,000 | 177,661 | 0 | 1,636,339 | 1,309,985 | 326,354 |

Haldimand County
Service: Fire Vehic
INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS COVERED IN THE DC CALCULATION

|  |  |  | Gross |  |  |  |  | Less: | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prj .No | Anticipated Development 2014-2033 | Timing (year) | Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post Period Benefit | Other Deductions | Net Capital Cost | Benefit to Existing Development | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions Attributable to New | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Residential } \\ \text { Share } \\ 80 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Non-Residential Share $20 \%$ |
| 1 | Provision for new fire equipment | 2014-33 | 100,000 | 0 |  | 100,000 | 0 |  | 100,000 | 80,056 | 19,944 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 80,056 | 19,944 |

Haldimand County
Service: Fire Small Equipment and Gear

### 5.3.2 Roads and Related Services

With respect to future needs, the identified service related to roads programs totals \$48,945,502 including the recovery of a negative reserve fund balance $(\$ 1,306,702)$. There are eight road projects in total. These six projects include streetscaping, bridge replacement, gravel road conversion and the McClung Road Grand River Bridge SE Arterial. In total, a deduction for benefit to existing of $\$ 17,195,670$ has been allocated. The total growth-related cost to be included in the DC is, therefore, $\$ 7,899,831$.

The residential/non-residential capital cost allocation for roads would be based on an 80\%/20\% split, based on the incremental growth in population to employment for the 20-year forecast period.
Haldimand County
Service: Roads

| Prj .No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post Period Benefit | Other Deductions | Net Capital Cost | Less: |  | Potential DC Recove |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit to Existing Development | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions Attributable to New Development | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { Share } \\ \text { 80\% } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Downtown Streetscaping Cayuga | 2014 | 280,000 | 0 |  | 280,000 | 201,750 |  | 78,250 | 62,643 |
|  | Dun-Ramsey Ext Ph II and Indus Dr (inc Storm) | 2020 | 1,455,000 | 0 |  | 1,455,000 | 727,500 |  | 727,500 | 582,406 |
|  | Cal-Argyle St Bridge Replacement (MTO) County share of work | 2016 | 1,300,000 | 0 |  | 1,300,000 | 0 |  | 1,300,000 | 1,040,726 |
|  | McClung Road Grand River Bridge SE Arterial | 2022+ | 26,500,000 | 23,850,000 |  | 2,650,000 | 0 |  | 2,650,000 | 2,121,480 |
|  | 5th Concession of Walpole-surface treatment (Nextera and Capital to restore to gravel surface road) | 2014 | 1,500,000 | 0 |  | 1,500,000 | 1,350,000 |  | 150,000 | 120,084 |
|  | 8th Concession Road-convert to surface treatment | 2016 | 1,100,000 | 0 |  | 1,100,000 | 990,000 |  | 110,000 | 88,061 |
|  | Gravel Road Conversion | 2014-2023 | 12,273,800 | 0 |  | 12,273,800 | 11,046,420 |  | 1,227,380 | 982,589 |
|  | Gravel Road Conversions-CVF | 2014-2016 | 3,200,000 | 0 |  | 3,200,000 | 2,880,000 |  | 320,000 | 256,179 |
|  | Highway 6 Hagersville Bypass Study | 2014 | 30,000 | 0 |  | 30,000 | 0 |  | 30,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reserve Fund Adjustment |  | 1,306,702 | 0 |  | 1,306,702 | 0 |  | 1,306,702 | 1,046,091 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 48,945,502 | 23,850,000 | 0 | 25,095,502 | 17,195,670 | 0 | 7,899,831 | 6,300,260 |

### 5.3.3 Public Works - Buildings and Fleet

The Public Works Department has an inventory of 260 vehicles and major equipment. The inventory provides for a per capita standard of $\$ 321$. Over the forecast period, the DC-eligible amount for vehicles and equipment is $\$ 3,684,898$.

The County operates their Public Works service out of a number of facilities. The facilities provide 84,150 sq.ft. of building area, providing for an average level of service of $1.78 \mathrm{sq.ft}$. per capita or $\$ 129 /$ capita. This level of service provides the County with a maximum DC-eligible amount for recovery over the 20 year forecast period of $\$ 2,639,475$.

There several projects identified over the forecast period including new vehicles, new storage and parts room as well as improvements to operations yards. The total cost of these projects is $\$ 1,341,000$, of which $\$ 161,758$ benefit to existing has been allocated. The net amount included in the $D C$ is $\$ 1,179,242$.

| Prj .No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post PeriodBenefit | Other Deductions | Net Capital Cost | Less: |  | Potential DC Recoverable Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit to Existing Development | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions Attributable to New Development | Total | Residential Share 80\% | Non-Residential Share 20\% |
| 1 | Vehicle \& Equipment Provision | 2014-33 | 1,000,000 | 0 |  | 1,000,000 | 0 |  | 1,000,000 | 800,558 | 199,442 |
| 2 | Full Size Pickup - Winter Control | 2014 | 27,000 | 0 |  | 27,000 | 0 |  | 27,000 | 21,615 | 5,385 |
| 3 | Full Size Pickup - Winter Control | 2018 | 27,000 | 0 |  | 27,000 | 0 |  | 27,000 | 21,615 | 5,385 |
| 4 | Full Size Pickup - Winter Control | 2022 | 27,000 | 0 |  | 27,000 | 0 |  | 27,000 | 21,615 | 5,385 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kohler Fleet Garage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Building Storage \& Parts Room | 2017 | 75,000 | 0 |  | 75,000 | 0 |  | 75,000 | 60,042 | 14,958 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Roads Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Cayuga Operations Yard Resurfacing | 2015 | 30,000 | 0 |  | 30,000 | 18,000 |  | 12,000 | 9,607 | 2,393 |
| 7 | Dunnville Operations Yard Resurfacing | 2014 | 30,000 | 0 |  | 30,000 | 18,000 |  | 12,000 | 9,607 | 2,393 |
| 8 | Walpole Operations Yard Resurfacing | 2015 | 30,000 | 0 |  | 30,000 | 18,000 |  | 12,000 | 9,607 | 2,393 |
| 9 | Facility Condition Assess (4) Facilities | 2018 | 80,000 | 0 |  | 80,000 | 40,000 |  | 40,000 | 32,022 | 7,978 |
| 10 | Oenida Operations Yard Resurfacing Ph2 | 2016 | 15,000 | 0 |  | 15,000 | 9,000 |  | 6,000 | 4,803 | 1,197 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Reserve Fund Adjustment |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 58,758 |  | $(58,758)$ | $(47,039)$ | $(11,719)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 1,341,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,341,000 | 161,758 | 0 | 1,179,242 | 944,052 | 235,190 |

Haldimand County
Service: Public Works

### 5.4 Service Levels and 20-Year Urban Capital Costs for Haldimand's DC Calculation

This section evaluates the development-related capital requirements for those services with 20 year urban capital costs.

### 5.4.1 Wastewater Services

A number of sewer projects have been identified for inclusion in the DC including debenture charges (principal + interest) for the Caledonia Water Pollution Control Plant and Hagersville Wastewater Treatment Plant. Other Projects include Sewer and Master Plan projects in Caledonia, Hagersville, Cayuga, Dunnville, and Townsend. The gross cost of the projects is $\$ 15,110,033$ including the reserve fund deficit ( $\$ 1,443,980$ ).
$\$ 6,575,195$ has been identified as a benefit to existing development resulting in a net DC recoverable amount of $\$ 8,534,838$.

The growth-related costs have been allocated between residential and non-residential development based on design flows. This split results in a $82 \%$ allocation to residential and a $18 \%$ allocation to non-residential.
infrastructure costs covered in the dc calculation

| Prj. No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development <br> 2014-2033 | Timing (year) | GrossCapital CostEstimate$(2014 \$)$ | Post Period Benefit | Net Capital Cost | Less: |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit to Existing Development | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions Attributable to New Development | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { Share } \\ 82 \% \end{gathered}$ | Non-Residential Share <br> 18\% |
|  | Technical Reviews and Studies |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Caledonia Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2014 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 12,500 |  | 37,500 | 30,750 | 6,750 |
|  | Caledonia Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2019 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 12,500 |  | 37,500 | 30,750 | 6,750 |
|  | Hagersville Master Servicing Plan - Updte | 2015, 2020 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 | 20,500 | 4,500 |
|  | Jarvis Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2016, 2021 | 30,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 15,000 |  | 15,000 | 12,300 | 2,700 |
|  | Cayuga Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2016, 2021 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 | 20,500 | 4,500 |
|  | Dunville Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2015, 2020 | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 | 24,600 | 5,400 |
|  | SCADA Master Plan | 2016, 2021 | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 114,000 |  | 36,000 | 29,520 | 6,480 |
|  | Wastewater Plants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Caledonia Water Pollution Control Plant - Debt Charge $\$$ |  | 1,965,600 | 0 | 1,965,600 |  |  | 1,965,600 | 1,611,792 | 353,808 |
|  | Caledonia Water Pollution Control Plant - Discounted Interest |  | 307,470 | 0 | 307,470 | 0 |  | 307,470 | 252,125 | 55,345 |
|  | Hagersville Wastewater Treatment Plant - Debt Charges |  | 1,574,800 | 0 | 1,574,800 | 0 |  | 1,574,800 | 1,291,336 | 283,464 |
|  | Hagersville Wastewater Treatment Plant - Discounted Interest |  | 270,383 | 0 | 270,383 | 0 |  | 270,383 | 221,714 | 48,669 |
|  | Dunnville WWTP Replacement - Admin Portion | 2014-2015 | 1,089,000 | 0 | 1,089,000 | 827,640 |  | 261,360 | 214,315 | 47,045 |
|  | Plant Capital Improvements | 2018-2023 | 770,300 | 0 | 770,300 | 654,755 |  | 115,545 | 94,747 | 20,798 |
|  | SCADA Maintenance | 2014-2023 | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 152,000 |  | 48,000 | 39,360 | 8,640 |
|  | SCADA Technical Support | 2014-2023 | 500,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 380,000 |  | 120,000 | 98,400 | 21,600 |
|  | Sewer Operations Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Effluent Water Quality \& Impact Assessments | 2014 | 45,000 | 0 | 45,000 | 0 |  | 45,000 | 36,900 | 8,100 |
|  | Future Wastewater Main Projects | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2020-2023 \end{gathered}$ | 2,170,000 | 0 | 2,170,000 | 1,844,500 |  | 325,500 | 266,910 | 58,590 |
|  | Caledonia Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aeration Diffuser Head Upgrades | 2016 | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 |  | 200,000 | 164,000 | 36,000 |
|  | Caledonia Nairn St Forcemain Rehab | 2014 | 300,000 | 0 | 300,000 | 0 |  | 300,000 | 246,000 | 54,000 |
|  | SCADA Computer \& Network Replacement | 2018, 2023 | 32,000 | 0 | 32,000 | 24,320 |  | 7,680 | 6,298 | 1,382 |
|  | Hagersville Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Odour Control for Digesters | 2021 | 750,000 | 0 | 750,000 | 525,000 |  | 225,000 | 184,500 | 40,500 |
|  | Grit Removal System | 2021 | 1,025,000 | 0 | 1,025,000 | 717,500 |  | 307,500 | 252,150 | 55,350 |
|  | Hagersville WWTP Effluent Launder Safety Railings | 2014 | 16,000 | 0 | 16,000 | 11,200 |  | 4,800 | 3,936 | 864 |
|  | Hagersville Filter Cleanout Ports | 2014 | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 17,500 |  | 7,500 | 6,150 | 1,350 |
|  | SCADA Computer \& Network Replacement | 2018, 2023 | 40,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 30,400 |  | 9,600 | 7,872 | 1,728 |
|  | Cayuga Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cayuga-Ouse St Force Main Twinning | 2018-2019 | 330,000 | 0 | 330,000 | 221,100 |  | 108,900 | 89,298 | 19,602 |
|  | SCADA Computer \& Network Replacement | 2017, 2022 | 31,000 | 0 | 31,000 | 23,560 |  | 7,440 | 6,101 | 1,339 |
|  | Dunnville Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WWTP SCADA Replacements | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2014,2016, \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 271,500 | 0 | 271,500 | 206,340 |  | 65,160 | 53,431 | 11,729 |
|  | Remotes (Pump Stns) SCADA Replacements | 2021 | 140,000 | 0 | 140,000 | 106,400 |  | 33,600 | 27,552 | 6,048 |
|  | SCADA Computer \& Network Replacement | 2014, 2019 | 48,000 | 0 | 48,000 | 36,480 |  | 11,520 | 9,446 | 2,074 |
|  | Townsend Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Townsend Lagoon Upgrades | 2014 | 1,125,000 | 0 | 1,125,000 | 562,500 |  | 562,500 | 461,250 | 101,250 |
|  | Reserve Fund Adjustment |  | 1,443,980 | 0 | 1,443,980 | 0 |  | 1,443,980 | 1,184,063 | 259,916 |
|  | Total |  | 15,110,033 | 0 | 15,110,033 | 6,575,195 | 0 | 8,534,838 | 6,998,567 | 1,536,271 |

### 5.4.2 Water Services

Two watermain projects have been identified for inclusion in the DC. The gross cost of the projects is $\$ 4,561,000$ with an identified benefit to existing of $\$ 3,713,250$ and a net amount of $\$ 847,750$ for inclusion in the DC calculation.

Several other water projects, mainly including Water Plant capital improvements, have been identified for inclusion in the DC. The gross cost of the projects is $\$ 20,355,000$. $\$ 15,057,020$ has been identified as benefit to existing resulting in a net DC amount of $\$ 5,297,980$ to be included in the DC calculation.
\$450,000 worth of technical reviews and studies have also been identified as growth related DC projects for water. Of this amount, $\$ 243,500$ has been identified as benefit to existing resulting in a net DC recoverable amount of $\$ 206,500$.

Including the reserve fund deficit of $\$ 1,071,034$, the total DC recoverable amount for wastewater is $\$ 7,424,064$.

The growth-related costs have been allocated between residential and non-residential development based on design flows. This split results in a $82 \%$ allocation to residential and a 18\% allocation to non-residential.
Haldimand County
Service: Water Distribution

| Haldimand County <br> Service: Water Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prj.No | Increased Service Needs Attributable to Anticipated Development | Timing (year) | Gross Capital Cost Estimate (2014\$) | Post PeriodBenefit | Net Capital Cost | Less: |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit to Existing Development | Grants, Subsidies and Other Contributions Attributable to New Development | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { Share } \\ \\ 82 \% \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | Non-Residential Share <br> 18\% |
|  | Watermains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Caithness W Reconstruction - Firehall - Ross | 2019 | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 176,400 |  | 223,600 | 183,352 | 40,248 |
|  | Future Watermain Replacement | 2018-2023 | 4,161,000 | 0 | 4,161,000 | 3,536,850 |  | 624,150 | 511,803 | 112,347 |
|  | Technical Review and Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Caledonia Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2014 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 12,500 |  | 37,500 | 30,750 | 6,750 |
|  | Caledonia Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2019 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 12,500 |  | 37,500 | 30,750 | 6,750 |
|  | Hagersville Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2015, 2020 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 | 20,500 | 4,500 |
|  | Jarvis Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2016, 2021 | 40,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 20,000 |  | 20,000 | 16,400 | 3,600 |
|  | Cayuga Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2016, 2021 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 | 20,500 | 4,500 |
|  | Dunnville Master Servicing Plan - Update | 2015, 2020 | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 | 24,600 | 5,400 |
|  | SCADA Master Plan | 2015, 2021 | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 118,500 |  | 31,500 | 25,830 | 5,670 |
|  | Jarvis Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main St and Talbot St to Town Limits Engineering | 2015 | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 112,500 |  | 37,500 | 30,750 | 6,750 |
|  | Main St and Talbot St to Town Limits Construction | 2016 | 1,825,000 | 0 | 1,825,000 | 1,368,750 |  | 456,250 | 374,125 | 82,125 |
|  | Water Plants/Transmission Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plant Optimization Program Implementation | 2014-2016 | 105,000 | 0 | 105,000 | 89,250 |  | 15,750 | 12,915 | 2,835 |
|  | Plant Capital Improvements | 2018-2023 | 12,719,500 | 0 | 12,719,500 | 10,811,575 |  | 1,907,925 | 1,564,499 | 343,427 |
|  | SCADA Maintenance | 2014-2023 | 300,000 | 0 | 300,000 | 237,000 |  | 63,000 | 51,660 | 11,340 |
|  | SCADA Technical Support | 2014-2023 | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 316,000 |  | 84,000 | 68,880 | 15,120 |
|  | Dunnville Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WTP SCADA Computer \& Network Equip | $\begin{aligned} & 2015, \\ & 2020, \\ & 2023 \end{aligned}$ | 21,000 | 0 | 21,000 | 16,590 |  | 4,410 | 3,616 | 794 |
|  | Nanticoke Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nanticoke Electrical Servicing Upgrades | 2014 | 215,000 | 0 | 215,000 | 161,250 |  | 53,750 | 44,075 | 9,675 |
|  | High Rate Sedimentation Capacity Expansion | 2014 | 1,017,000 | 0 | 1,017,000 | 762,750 |  | 254,250 | 208,485 | 45,765 |
|  | Filter Building Expansion (Lab, SCADA control) | 2014 | 1,523,000 | 0 | 1,523,000 | 1,142,250 |  | 380,750 | 312,215 | 68,535 |
|  | WTP Filter Replacement | 2014 | 2,030,000 | 0 | 2,030,000 | 0 |  | 2,030,000 | 1,664,600 | 365,400 |
|  | WTP SCADA Computer \& Network Equip Re | $\begin{gathered} 2017, \\ 2018,2022 \\ , 2023 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 49,500 | 0 | 49,500 | 39,105 |  | 10,395 | 8,524 | 1,871 |
|  | Reserve Fund Adjustment |  | 1,071,834 | 0 | 1,071,834 | 0 |  | 1,071,834 | 878,904 | 192,930 |
|  | Total |  | 26,437,834 | 0 | 26,437,834 | 19,013,770 | 0 | 7,424,064 | 6,087,733 | 1,336,332 |

INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS COVERED IN THE DC CALCULATION

### 5.4.3 Stormwater Drainage and Control Services

The County has provided capital projects for stormwater that include a stormsewer from Dunnville-Alder St Niagara to West for $\$ 2,731,000$ as well as several technical reviews and totalling $\$ 210,000$. With the reserve fund deficit of $\$ 540,254$, this results in overall gross capital costs for stormwater of $\$ 3,481,454$, with an existing benefit allocation of $\$ 2,184,800$ and net DC calculated amount of \$1,296,454.

The growth-related costs have been allocated between residential and non-residential development based on incremental growth in population to employment over the 20 year urban forecast period. This split results in a $79 \%$ allocation to residential and a $21 \%$ allocation to nonresidential.
INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS COVERED IN THE DC CALCULATION


## 6. DEVELOPMENT CHARGE CALCULATION

## 6. DEVELOPMENT CHARGE CALCULATION

Table 6-1 calculates the proposed uniform development charges to be imposed for infrastructure services based upon a 20 year urban horizon. Table 6-2 calculates the proposed uniform development charge to be imposed on anticipated development in the County for County-wide services over a 20-year planning horizon. Table 6-3 calculates the proposed uniform development charge to be imposed on anticipated development in the County for County-wide services over a 10-year planning horizon.

The calculation for residential development is generated on a per capita basis and is based upon four forms of housing types (single and semi-detached, apartments <2 bedrooms, apartments $>2$ bedrooms and other multiples). The non-residential development charge has been calculated on a per sq.ft. of gross floor area basis for all types of non-residential development (industrial, commercial and institutional).

The DC-eligible costs for each service component were developed in Chapter 5 for all County services, based on their proposed capital programs.

For the residential calculations, the total cost is divided by the "gross" (new resident) population to determine the per capita amount. The eligible DC cost calculations set out in Chapter 5 are based on the net anticipated population increase (the forecast new unit population less the anticipated decline in existing units). The cost per capita is then multiplied by the average occupancy of the new units (Appendix A, Schedule 5) to calculate the charge in Tables 6-2 and 6-3.

With respect to non-residential development, the total costs in the uniform charge allocated to non-residential development (based on need for service) have been divided by the anticipated development over the planning period to calculate a cost per sq.ft. of gross floor area.

Table 6-4 summarizes the total development charge that is applicable and Table 6-5 summarizes the gross capital expenditures and sources of revenue for works to be undertaken during the 5 -year life of the by-law.

TABLE 6-1
HALDIMAND COUNTY
DEVELOPMENT CHARGE CALCULATION
Municipal-wide Services
2014-20 Year Urban


TABLE 6-2
HALDIMAND COUNTY
DEVELOPMENT CHARGE CALCULATION
Municipal-wide Services
2014-2034

| SERVICE |  | 2014 \$ DC Eligible Cost |  | 2014 \$ DC Eligible Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Residential | Non-Residential | SDU | per ft ${ }^{2}$ |
| 4. Roads and Related |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ \\ & 6,300,260 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \$ } \\ & \\ & 1,599,571 \end{aligned}$ | \$  <br>   <br>  1,325 | \$ <br>  <br> 0.58 |
|  |  | 6,300,260 | 1,599,571 | 1,325 | 0.58 |
| 5. Public Works - Buildings \& Fleet |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 944,052 | 235,190 | 198 | 0.08 |
| 6. Fire Protection Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.1 Fire facilities |  | 2,838,559 | 707,165 | 597 | 0.25 |
| 6.2 Fire vehicles |  | 1,309,985 | 326,354 | 275 | 0.12 |
| 6.3 Small equipment and gear |  | 80,056 | 19,944 | 17 | 0.01 |
|  |  | 4,228,600 | 1,053,463 | 889 | 0.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | \$11,472,913 | \$2,888,224 | \$2,412 | \$1.04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DC ELIGIBLE CAPITAL COST |  | \$11,472,913 | \$2,888,224 |  |  |
| 20 Year Gross Population / GFA Growth ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$.) |  | 15,032 | 2,778,700 |  |  |
| Cost Per Capita / Non-Residential GFA (ft².) |  | \$763.23 | \$1.04 |  |  |
| By Residential Unit Type | p.p.u |  |  |  |  |
| Single and Semi-Detached Dwelling | 3.16 | \$2,412 |  |  |  |
| Apartments - 2 Bedrooms + | 2.16 | \$1,649 |  |  |  |
| Apartments - Bachelor and 1 Bedroom | 1.49 | \$1,137 |  |  |  |
| Other Multiples | 2.74 | \$2,091 |  |  |  |

TABLE 6-3
HALDIMAND COUNTY
DEVELOPMENT CHARGE CALCULATION
Municipal-wide Services
2014-2024


TABLE 6-4
HALDIMAND COUNTY
DEVELOPMENT CHARGE CALCULATION
TOTAL ALL SERVICES

|  | 2014 \$ DC Eligible Cost |  | 2014 \$ DC Eligible Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential | Non-Residential | SDU | per ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Urban-wide Services 20 Year | \$14,107,427 | \$3,147,929 | \$3,574 | \$1.13 |
| Municipal-wide Services 20 Year | 11,472,913 | 2,888,224 | 2,412 | 1.04 |
| Municipal-wide Services 10 Year | 10,898,002 | 789,779 | 5,053 | 0.66 |
| total | 36,478,341 | 6,825,932 | 11,039 | 2.83 |

GROSS EXPENDITURE AND SOURCES OF REVENUE SUMMARY
FOR COSTS TO BE INCURRED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BY－LAW

|  | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ㅇ } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ö } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{G} \\ & \underset{\sigma}{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & N \\ & N \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\hat{N}} \\ & \text { it } \end{aligned}$ | （1） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { To } \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \text { } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ 0 0 $i$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | \％융 が | $N$ $\underset{\sim}{n}$ $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\otimes}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  |  | － |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 000 | $\bigcirc$ | 000 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | － |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 000 | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N్0 } \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{j} \\ & \mathrm{j} \end{aligned}$ | （ |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 000 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \circ 8 \\ & 800 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | 응 | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 00 | （1） |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \\ & \dot{G} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | 000 | $\bigcirc$ | 000 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \underset{O}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8_{0}^{\circ} \\ & 0_{0}^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | No |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 000 | $\bigcirc$ | 000 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 00 | \％ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\varrho} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | N <br> N <br>  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \stackrel{-}{j} \\ & \text { N- } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}$ |  | $\stackrel{8}{\circ}$ <br>  <br>  <br> $\underset{\sim}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & \stackrel{8}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{8}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.8 \\ & 0.8 \\ & \stackrel{N}{7} \underset{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | － |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{w}} \\ & \text { n} \end{aligned}$ |  | ～ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ｜r |

## 7. DEVELOPMENT CHARGE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT CHARGE BY-LAW RULES

## 7. DEVELOPMENT CHARGE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT CHARGE BY-LAW RULES

### 7.1 Introduction

s.s.5(1)9 states that rules must be developed:
"...to determine if a development charge is payable in any particular case and to determine the amount of the charge, subject to the limitations set out in subsection 6."

Paragraph 10 of the section goes on to state that the rules may provide for exemptions, phasing in and/or indexing of development charges.
s.s.5(6) establishes the following restrictions on the rules:

- the total of all development charges that would be imposed on anticipated development must not exceed the capital costs determined under 5(1) 2-8 for all services involved;
- if the rules expressly identify a type of development, they must not provide for it to pay development charges that exceed the capital costs that arise from the increase in the need for service for that type of development; however, this requirement does not relate to any particular development; and
- if the rules provide for a type of development to have a lower development charge than is allowed, the rules for determining development charges may not provide for any resulting shortfall to be made up via other development.

With respect to "the rules," Section 6 states that a DC by-law must expressly address the matters referred to above re s.s.5(1) para. 9 and 10, as well as how the rules apply to the redevelopment of land.

The rules provided are based on the County's existing policies; however, there are items under consideration at this time and these may be refined prior to adoption of the by-law.

### 7.2 Development Charge By-law Structure

## It is recommended that:

- the County uses a uniform County-wide development charge calculation for all county services, except for water and wastewater services;
- water and wastewater services be imposed on urban lands; and
- one municipal development charge by-law be used for all services.


### 7.3 Development Charge By-law Rules

The following subsections set out the recommended rules governing the calculation, payment and collection of development charges in accordance with Section 6 of the Development Charges Act, 1997.

It is recommended that the following sections provide the basis for the development charges:

### 7.3.1 Payment in any Particular Case

In accordance with the Development Charges Act, 1997, s.2(2), a development charge be calculated, payable and collected where the development requires one or more of the following:
a) the passing of a zoning by-law or of an amendment to a zoning by-law under section 34 of the Planning Act;
b) the approval of a minor variance under Section 45 of the Planning Act;
c) a conveyance of land to which a by-law passed under section 50(7) of the Planning Act applies;
d) the approval of a plan of subdivision under Section 51 of the Planning Act;
e) a consent under Section 53 of the Planning Act;
f) the approval of a description under section 50 of the Condominium Act; or
g) the issuing of a building permit under the Building Code Act in relation to a building or structure.

### 7.3.2 Determination of the Amount of the Charge

The following conventions be adopted:

1) Costs allocated to residential uses will be assigned to different types of residential units based on the average occupancy for each housing type constructed during the previous decade. Costs allocated to non-residential uses will be assigned based on the amount of square feet of gross floor area constructed for eligible uses (i.e. industrial, commercial and institutional).
2) Costs allocated to residential and non-residential uses are based upon a number of conventions, as may be suited to each municipal circumstance, e.g.

- for General Government, Cemeteries, the costs have been based on a population vs. employment growth ratio ( $80 \% / 20 \%$ ) for residential and nonresidential, respectively) over the 10-year forecast period;
- for Leisure Services and Library Board, a 5\% non-residential attribution has been made to recognize use by the non-residential sector;
- for Fire, Public Works, and Roads and Related, a 80\% residential/20\% nonresidential attribution has been made based on a population vs. employment growth ratio over the 20-year forecast period;
- for Stormwater services, a $79 \% / 21 \%$ split has been used based on the 20 -year urban forecast population versus employment growth ratio; and
- for Water and Wastewater services, an $82 \%$ residential/18\% non-residential allocation has been made based on design flows over the 20 year urban forecast period.


### 7.3.3 Application to Redevelopment of Land (Demolition and Conversion)

If a development involves the demolition of and replacement of a building or structure on the same site, or the conversion from one principal use to another, the developer shall be allowed a credit equivalent to:

1) the number of dwelling units demolished/converted multiplied by the applicable residential development charge in place at the time the development charge is payable; and/or
2) the gross floor area of the building demolished/converted multiplied by the current nonresidential development charge in place at the time the development charge is payable.

The demolition credit is allowed only if the land was improved by occupied structures and if the demolition permit related to the site was issued less than ten years prior to the issuance of a building permit. The credit can, in no case, exceed the amount of development charges that would otherwise be payable.

### 7.3.4 Exemptions (full or partial)

a) Statutory exemptions

- industrial building additions of up to and including $50 \%$ of the existing gross floor area (defined in O.Reg. 82/98, s.1) of the building; for industrial building additions which exceed $50 \%$ of the existing gross floor area, only the portion of the addition in excess of $50 \%$ is subject to development charges (s.4(3)) of the DCA;
- buildings or structures owned by and used for the purposes of any municipality, local board or Board of Education (s.3);
- residential development that results only in the enlargement of an existing dwelling unit, or that results only in the creation of up to two additional dwelling units (based on prescribed limits set out in s. 2 of O.Reg. 82/98).
b) Non-statutory exemptions
- development which is or would be classified under the Assessment Act as exempt from taxation for realty taxes such as a place or worship; and
- a bona fide farm building.


### 7.3.5 Phasing in

No provisions for phasing-in the development charge are provided in the development charge by-law.

### 7.3.6 Timing of Collection

A development charge that is applicable under Section 5 of the Development Charges Act shall be calculated and payable:

- where a permit is required under the Building Code Act in relation to a building or structure, the owner shall pay the development charge prior to the issuance of a permit of prior to the commencement of development or redevelopment as the case may be; and
- despite the above, Council, from time to time, and at any time, may enter into agreements providing for all or any part of a development charge to be paid before or after it would otherwise be payable.


### 7.3.7 Indexing

Indexing of the development charges shall be implemented on a mandatory basis annually on the by-law anniversary date, in accordance with the Statistics Canada Quarterly, Construction Price Statistics for the most recent year over year period.

### 7.3.8 The Applicable Areas

The charges developed herein provide for varying charges within the County, as follows:

- All County-wide Services - the full residential and non-residential charge will be imposed on all lands within the County; and
- Water, Stormwater and Wastewater Services - the full residential and non-residential charge will not be imposed on lands outside the serviced areas of the County (Caledonia, Cayuga, Dunnville, Hagersville, Townsend, and Jarvis).


### 7.4 Other Development Charge By-law Provisions

## It is recommended that:

### 7.4.1 Categories of Services for Reserve Fund and Credit Purposes

The County's development charge collections are currently reserved in ten separate reserve funds: Roads and Related, Public Works - Buildings and Fleet, Fire Protection Services, Leisure Services, Library Board, General Government, Ambulance Services, Stormwater, Water and Wastewater Services. It is recommended that the County continue with this breakdown of the $D C$ reserve funds and include reserve funds for Parking Services and Cemeteries under the new 2014 by-law. Appendix F outlines the reserve fund policies that the County is required to follow as per the Development Charges Act.

### 7.4.2 By-law In-force Date

A by-law under the DCA, 1997 comes into force on the day after which the by-law is passed by Council.

### 7.4.3 Minimum Interest Rate Paid on Refunds and Charged for Inter-Reserve Fund Borrowing

The minimum interest rate is the Bank of Canada rate on the day on which the by-law comes into force (as per s. 11 of O.Reg. 82/98).

### 7.5 Other Recommendations

## It is recommended that Council:

"Whenever appropriate, request that grants, subsidies and other contributions be clearly designated by the donor as being to the benefit of existing development (or new development as applicable)";
"Adopt the assumptions contained herein as an 'anticipation' with respect to capital grants, subsidies and other contributions";
"Approve the capital project listing set out in Chapter 5 of the Development Charges Background Study dated April 11, 2014, subject to further annual review during the capital budget process";
"Approve the Development Charges Background Study dated April 11, 2014, as amended (if applicable)";
"Determine that no further public meeting is required"; and
"Approve the Development Charge By-law as set out in Appendix F."

## 8. BY-LAW IMPLEMENTATION

## 8. BY-LAW IMPLEMENTATION

### 8.1 Public Consultation Process

### 8.1.1 Introduction

This chapter addresses the mandatory, formal public consultation process (Section 8.1.2), as well as the optional, informal consultation process (Section 8.1.3). The latter is designed to seek the co-operation and participation of those involved, in order to produce the most suitable policy. Section 8.1.4 addresses the anticipated impact of the development charge on development from a generic viewpoint.

### 8.1.2 Public Meeting of Council

Section 12 of the DCA, 1997 indicates that before passing a development charge by-law, Council must hold at least one public meeting, giving at least 20 clear days' notice thereof, in accordance with the Regulation. Council must also ensure that the proposed by-law and background report are made available to the public at least two weeks prior to the (first) meeting.

Any person who attends such a meeting may make representations related to the proposed bylaw.

If a proposed by-law is changed following such a meeting, Council must determine whether a further meeting (under this section) is necessary (i.e. if the proposed by-law which is proposed for adoption has been changed in any respect, Council should formally consider whether an additional public meeting is required, incorporating this determination as part of the final by-law or associated resolution. It is noted that Council's decision, once made, is final and not subject to review by a Court or the OMB).

### 8.1.3 Other Consultation Activity

There are three broad groupings of the public who are generally the most concerned with municipal development charge policy:

1. The first grouping is the residential development community, consisting of land developers and builders, who are typically responsible for generating the majority of the development charge revenues. Others, such as realtors, are directly impacted by development charge policy. They are, therefore, potentially interested in all aspects of
the charge, particularly the quantum by unit type, projects to be funded by the DC and the timing thereof, and municipal policy with respect to development agreements, DC credits and front-ending requirements.
2. The second public grouping embraces the public at large and includes taxpayer coalition groups and others interested in public policy (e.g. in encouraging a higher nonautomobile modal split).
3. The third grouping is the industrial/commercial/institutional development sector, consisting of land developers and major owners or organizations with significant construction plans, such as hotels, entertainment complexes, shopping centres, offices, industrial buildings and institutions. Also involved are organizations such as Industry Associations, the Chamber of Commerce, the Board of Trade and the Economic Development Agencies, who are all potentially interested in municipal development charge policy. Their primary concern is frequently with the quantum of the charge, gross floor area exclusions such as basements, mechanical or indoor parking areas, or exemptions and phase-in or capping provisions in order to moderate the impact.

### 8.2 Anticipated Impact of the Charge on Development

The establishment of sound development charge policy often requires the achievement of an acceptable balance between two competing realities. The first is that high non-residential development charges can, to some degree, represent a barrier to increased economic activity and sustained industrial/commercial growth, particularly for capital intensive uses. Also, in many cases, increased residential development charges can ultimately be expected to be recovered via higher housing prices and can impact project feasibility in some cases (e.g. rental apartments).

On the other hand, development charges or other municipal capital funding sources need to be obtained in order to help ensure that the necessary infrastructure and amenities are installed. The timely installation of such works is a key initiative in providing adequate service levels and in facilitating strong economic growth, investment and wealth generation.

### 8.3 Implementation Requirements

### 8.3.1 Introduction

Once the County has calculated the charge, prepared the complete background study, carried out the public process and passed a new by-law, the emphasis shifts to implementation matters.

These include notices, potential appeals and complaints, credits, front-ending agreements, subdivision agreement conditions and finally the collection of revenues and funding of projects.

The sections which follow overview the requirements in each case.

### 8.3.2 Notice of Passage

In accordance with s. 13 of the DCA, when a DC by-law is passed, the County clerk shall give written notice of the passing and of the last day for appealing the by-law (the day that is 40 days after the day it was passed). Such notice must be given no later than 20 days after the day the by-law is passed (i.e. as of the day of newspaper publication or the mailing of the notice).

Section 10 of O.Reg. 82/98 further defines the notice requirements which are summarized as follows:

- notice may be given by publication in a newspaper which is (in the Clerk's opinion) of sufficient circulation to give the public reasonable notice, or by personal service, fax or mail to every owner of land in the area to which the by-law relates;
- s.s.10(4) lists the persons/organizations who must be given notice; and
- s.s.10(5) lists the eight items which the notice must cover.


### 8.3.3 By-law Pamphlet

In addition to the "notice" information, the County must prepare a "pamphlet" explaining each development charge by-law in force, setting out:

- a description of the general purpose of the development charges;
- the "rules" for determining if a charge is payable in a particular case and for determining the amount of the charge;
- the services to which the development charges relate; and
- a general description of the general purpose of the Treasurer's statement and where it may be received by the public.

Where a by-law is not appealed to the OMB, the pamphlet must be readied within 60 days after the by-law comes into force. Later dates apply to appealed by-laws.

The County must give one copy of the most recent pamphlet without charge, to any person who requests one.

### 8.3.4 Appeals

Sections 13-19 of the DCA, 1997 set out the requirements relative to making and processing a DC by-law appeal and OMB Hearing in response to an appeal. Any person or organization may appeal a DC by-law to the OMB by filing a notice of appeal with the Municipal clerk, setting out the objection to the by-law and the reasons supporting the objection. This must be done by the last day for appealing the by-law, which is 40 days after the by-law is passed.

The County is carrying out a public consultation process, in order to address the issues which come forward as part of that process, thereby avoiding or reducing the need for an appeal to be made.

### 8.3.5 Complaints

A person required to pay a development charge, or his agent, may complain to the Municipal Council imposing the charge that:

- the amount of the charge was incorrectly determined;
- the credit to be used against the development charge was incorrectly determined; or
- there was an error in the application of the development charge.

Sections 20-25 of the DCA, 1997 set out the requirements that exist, including the fact that a complaint may not be made later than 90 days after a DC (or any part of it) is payable. A complainant may appeal the decision of Municipal Council to the OMB.

### 8.3.6 Credits

Sections 38-41 of the DCA, 1997 set out a number of credit requirements, which apply where a Municipality agrees to allow a person to perform work in the future that relates to a service in the DC by-law.

These credits would be used to reduce the amount of development charges to be paid. The value of the credit is limited to the reasonable cost of the work which does not exceed the average level of service. The credit applies only to the service to which the work relates, unless the Municipality agrees to expand the credit to other services for which a development charge is payable.

### 8.3.7 Front-Ending Agreements

The County and one or more landowners may enter into a front-ending agreement which provides for the costs of a project which will benefit an area in the County to which the DC bylaw applies. Such an agreement can provide for the costs to be borne by one or more parties to the agreement who are, in turn, reimbursed in future by persons who develop land defined in the agreement.

Part III of the DCA, 1997 (Sections 44-58) addresses front-ending agreements and removes some of the obstacles to their use which were contained in the DCA, 1989. Accordingly, the Municipality assesses whether this mechanism is appropriate for its use, as part of funding projects prior to municipal funds being available.

### 8.3.8 Severance and Subdivision Agreement Conditions

Section 59 of the DCA, 1997 prevents a Municipality from imposing directly or indirectly, a charge related to development or a requirement to construct a service related to development, by way of a condition or agreement under s. 51 or s. 53 of the Planning Act, except for:

- "local services, related to a plan of subdivision or within the area to which the plan relates, to be installed or paid for by the owner as a condition of approval under section 51 of the Planning Act;" and
- "local services to be installed or paid for by the owner as a condition of approval under Section 53 of the Planning Act."

It is also noted that s.s.59(4) of the DCA, 1997 requires that the municipal approval authority for a draft plan of subdivision under s.s.51(31) of the Planning Act, use its power to impose conditions to ensure that the first purchaser of newly subdivided land is informed of all the development charges related to the development, at the time the land is transferred.

In this regard, if the Municipality in question is a commenting agency, in order to comply with subsection 59(4) of the Development Charges Act, 1997 it would need to provide to the approval authority, information regarding the applicable municipal development charges related to the site.

If the Municipality is an approval authority for the purposes of section 51 of the Planning Act, it would be responsible to ensure that it collects information from all entities which can impose a development charge.

The most effective way to ensure that purchasers are aware of this condition would be to require it as a provision in a registered subdivision agreement, so that any purchaser of the
property would be aware of the charges at the time the title was searched prior to closing a transaction conveying the lands.

## APPENDIX A BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON RESIDENTIAL AND NON-RESIDENTIAL GROWTH FORECAST

SCHEDULE 1
HALDIMAND COUNTY
RESIDENTIAL GROWTH FORECAST SUMMARY

| Year | Population (Excluding Census Undercount) | Population (Including Census Undercount ${ }^{1}$ | Housing Units |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Singles \& SemiDetached | Multiple Dwellings ${ }^{2}$ | Apartments ${ }^{3}$ | Other | Total Households | Person Per Unit (PPU) |
| Mid 2001 | 43,728 | 45,480 | 13,650 | 640 | 1,175 | 95 | 15,560 | 2.81 |
| Mid 2006 | 45,212 | 47,020 | 14,510 | 685 | 1,085 | 40 | 16,320 | 2.77 |
| Mid 2011 | 44,874 | 46,670 | 14,785 | 910 | 1,085 | 50 | 16,830 | 2.67 |
| Mid 2014 | 45,113 | 46,920 | 15,074 | 966 | 1,104 | 50 | 17,194 | 2.62 |
| Mid 2024 | 49,967 | 51,970 | 16,787 | 1,355 | 1,287 | 50 | 19,479 | 2.57 |
| Mid 2034 | 56,581 | 58,840 | 18,812 | 1,835 | 1,560 | 50 | 22,257 | 2.54 |
| Mid 2001 - Mid 2006 | 1,484 | 1,540 | 860 | 45 | -90 | -55 | 760 |  |
| Mid 2006 - Mid 2011 | -338 | -350 | 275 | 225 | 0 | 10 | 510 |  |
| Mid 2011 - Mid 2014 | 239 | 250 | 289 | 56 | 19 | 0 | 364 |  |
| Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 4,854 | 5,050 | 1,713 | 388 | 183 | 0 | 2,285 |  |
| Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 11,468 | 11,920 | 3,738 | 869 | 456 | 0 | 5,063 |  |

Source: Watson \& Associates Economists Ltd., 2014. Derived from Schedule 3 of Consolidated Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH) - Places to Grow, June 2013 (2031 B)

1. Census Undercount estimated at approximately 4\%. Note: Population Including the Undercount has been rounded.
2. Includes townhomes and apartments in duplexes.
3. Includes bachelor, 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom+ apartments.

FIGURE A-1
2014-2034 HOUSING FORECAST ${ }^{1}$


Source: Historical housing activity (2002-2012) derived from County of Haldimand Planning Department, 2013

1. Growth Forecast represents start year.
SCHEDULE 2
DEVELOPMENT FOR WHICH DEVELOPMENT CHARGES CAN BE IMPOSED

| DEVELOPMENT <br> LOCATION | TIMING | SINGLES \& SEMIDETACHED | MULTIPLES ${ }^{1}$ | APARTMENTS ${ }^{2}$ | TOTAL <br> RESIDENTIAL UNITS | GROSS POPULATION <br> IN NEW UNITS | EXISTING UNIT <br> POPULATION CHANGE | NET <br> POPULATION <br> INCREASE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caledonia | Mid 2014-Mid 2024 | 786 | 259 | 88 | 1,133 | 3,379 | (437) | 2,942 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 1,903 | 554 | 247 | 2,703 | 8,027 | (794) | 7,232 |
| Cayuga | Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 122 | 39 | 5 | 166 | 494 | (71) | 423 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 237 | 75 | 13 | 325 | 966 | (129) | 837 |
| Dunnville | Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 96 | 41 | 14 | 150 | 449 | (253) | 196 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 208 | 93 | 33 | 335 | 993 | (460) | 533 |
| Hagersville | Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 231 | 32 | 64 | 327 | 976 | (113) | 863 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 450 | 95 | 132 | 677 | 2,009 | (205) | 1,805 |
| Townsend | Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 14 | 43 | (51) | (8) |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 5 | 13 | 17 | 35 | 103 | (93) | 10 |
| Jarvis | Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 32 | 12 | 6 | 50 | 150 | (110) | 40 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 75 | 39 | 14 | 128 | 379 | (200) | 180 |
| Remaining Rural Areas | Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 444 | - | - | 444 | 1,324 | (926) | 398 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 861 | - | - | 861 | 2,556 | $(1,684)$ | 872 |
| Haldimand County | Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 1,713 | 388 | 183 | 2,285 | 6,815 | $(1,961)$ | 4,854 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 3,738 | 869 | 456 | 5,063 | 15,032 | $(3,564)$ | 11,468 |

Residential distribution based on a combination of historical permit activity, available housing supply and discussions with County and Town staff regarding future development prospects

1. Includes townhomes and apartments in duplexes.
2. Includes bachelor, 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom + apartments.

SCHEDULE 3
HALDIMAND COUNTY CURRENT YEAR GROWTH FORECAST

MID 2011 TO MID 2014

|  |  |  | POPULATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid 2011 Population |  |  | 44,874 |
| Occupants of New Housing Units, Mid 2011 to Mid 2014 | Units (2) multiplied by persons per unit (3) | $\begin{array}{r} 364 \\ 3.03 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | gross population increase | 1,101 | 1,101 |
| Decline in Housing Unit Occupancy, Mid 2011 to Mid 2014 | Units (4) multiplied by ppu decline rate (5) | $\begin{array}{r} 16,830 \\ -0.0512 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | total decline in population | -862 | -862 |
| Population Estimate to Mid 2014 |  |  | 45,113 |
| Net Population Increase, Mid 2011 to Mid 2014 |  |  | 239 |

(1) 2011 population based on StatsCan Census unadjusted for Census Undercount.
(2) Estimated residential units constructed, Mid 2011 to the beginning of the growth period, assuming a six month lag between construction and occupancy.
(3) Average number of persons per unit (ppu) is assumed to be:

|  | Persons <br> Per Unit $^{1}$ | \% Distribution <br> of Estimated Units | Weighted Persons <br> Per Unit Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Structural Type | 3.16 | $79 \%$ | 2.51 |
| Singles \& Semi Detached | 2.74 | $15 \%$ | 0.42 |
| Multiples (6) | 1.86 | $5 \%$ | 0.10 |
| Apartments (7) |  | $100 \%$ | 3.03 |
| Total |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Based on 2006 Census custom database
${ }^{2}$ Based on Building permit/completion acitivty
(4) 2011 households taken from StatsCan Census.
(5) Decline occurs due to aging of the population and family life cycle changes, lower fertility rates and changing economic conditions.
(6) Includes townhomes and apartments in duplexes.
(7) Includes bachelor, 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom+ apartments.

## SCHEDULE 4 <br> HALDIMAND COUNTY TEN YEAR GROWTH FORECAST MID 2014 TO MID 2024

|  |  |  | POPULATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid 2014 Population |  |  | 45,113 |
| Occupants of New Housing Units, Mid 2014 to Mid 2024 | Units (2) multiplied by persons per unit (3) | $\begin{array}{r} 2,285 \\ 2.98 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | gross population increase | 6,815 | 6,815 |
| Decline in Housing Unit Occupancy, Mid 2014 to Mid 2024 | Units (4) multiplied by ppu decline rate (5) | $\begin{array}{r} 17,194 \\ -0.1140 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | total decline in population | -1,961 | -1,961 |
| Population Estimate to Mid 2024 |  |  | 49,967 |
| Net Population Increase, Mid 2014 to Mid 2024 |  |  | 4,854 |

(1) Mid 2014 Population based on:

2011 Population $(44,874)+$ Mid 2011 to Mid 2014 estimated housing units to beginning of forecast period $(364 \times 3.03=1,101)+$ $(16,830 \times-0.05126=-862)=45,113$
(2) Based upon forecast building permits/completions assuming a lag between construction and occupancy.
(3) Average number of persons per unit (ppu) is assumed to be:

|  | Persons <br> Per Unit ${ }^{1}$ | \% Distribution <br> of Estimated Units ${ }^{2}$ | Weighted Persons <br> Per Unit Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singles \& Semi Detached | 3.16 | $75 \%$ | 2.37 |
| Multiples (6) | 2.74 | $17 \%$ | 0.47 |
| Apartments (7) |  | 1.86 | $8 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Persons per unit based on adjusted Statistics Canada Custom 2006 Census database.
${ }^{2}$ Forecast unit mix based upon historical trends and housing units in the development process.
(4) Mid 2014 households based upon 16,830 (2011 Census) + 364 (Mid 2011 to Mid 2014 unit estimate) $=17,194$
(5) Decline occurs due to aging of the population and family life cycle changes, lower fertility rates and changing economic conditions.
(6) Includes townhomes and apartments in duplexes.
(7) Includes bachelor, 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom+ apartments.

## SCHEDULE 5 <br> HALDIMAND COUNTY TWENTY YEAR GROWTH FORECAST MID 2014 TO MID 2034

|  |  |  | POPULATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid 2014 Population |  |  | 45,113 |
| Occupants of New Housing Units, Mid 2014 to Mid 2034 | Units (2) multiplied by persons per unit (3) | $\begin{array}{r} 5,063 \\ 2.97 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | gross population increase | 15,032 | 15,032 |
| Decline in Housing Unit Occupancy, Mid 2014 to Mid 2034 | Units (4) multiplied by ppu decline rate (5) | $\begin{array}{r} 17,194 \\ -0.2073 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | total decline in population | -3,564 | -3,564 |
| Population Estimate to Mid 2034 |  |  | 56,581 |
| Net Population Increase, Mid 2014 to Mid 2034 |  |  | 11,468 |

(1) Mid 2014 Population based on:

2011 Population $(44,874)+$ Mid 2011 to Mid 2014 estimated housing units to beginning of forecast period $(364 \times 3.03=1,101)+$ $(16,830 \times-0.05126=-862)=45,113$
(2) Based upon forecast building permits/completions assuming a lag between construction and occupancy.
(3) Average number of persons per unit (ppu) is assumed to be:

| Structural Type | Persons <br> Per Unit ${ }^{1}$ | \% Distribution of Estimated Units² | Weighted Persons Per Unit Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singles \& Semi Detached | 3.16 | 74\% | 2.33 |
| Multiples (6) | 2.74 | 17\% | 0.47 |
| Apartments (7) | 1.86 | 9\% | 0.17 |
| one bedroom or less | 1.49 |  |  |
| two bedrooms or more | 2.16 |  |  |
| Total |  | 100\% | 2.97 |

${ }^{1}$ Persons per unit based on adjusted Statistics Canada Custom 2006 Census database.
${ }^{2}$ Forecast unit mix based upon historical trends and housing units in the development process.
(4) Mid 2014 households based upon 16,830 (2011 Census) + 364 (Mid 2011 to Mid 2014 unit estimate) $=17,194$
(5) Decline occurs due to aging of the population and family life cycle changes, lower fertility rates and changing economic conditions.
(6) Includes townhomes and apartments in duplexes.
(7) Includes bachelor, 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom+ apartments.

## SCHEDULE 7

HALDIMAND COUNTY
HISTORICAL RESIDENTIAL BUILDING PERMITS
YEARS 2003-2012

| Year | RESIDENTIAL BUILDING PERMITS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singles \& Semi Detached | Multiples ${ }^{1}$ | Apartments ${ }^{2}$ | Total |
| 2003 | 167 | 0 | 3 | 170 |
| 2004 | 230 | 0 | 45 | 275 |
| 2005 | 165 | 3 | 7 | 175 |
| 2006 | 130 | 0 | 44 | 174 |
| Sub-total <br> Average (2003-2006) <br> \% Breakdown | 692 | 3 | 99 | 794 |
|  | 173 | 1 | 25 | 199 |
|  | 87.2\% | 0.4\% | 12.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 2007 | 101 | 23 | 4 | 128 |
| 2008 | 85 | 44 | 0 | 129 |
| 2009 | 123 | 28 | 0 | 151 |
| 2010 | 83 | 3 | 0 | 86 |
| 2011 | 84 | 11 | 3 | 98 |
| 2012 | 91 | 28 | 0 | 119 |
| Sub-total <br> Average (2007-2012) <br> \% Breakdown | 567 | 137 | 7 | 711 |
|  | 95 | 22 | 1 | 118 |
|  | 79.7\% | 19.3\% | 1.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 2003-2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,259 | 140 | 106 | 1,505 |
| Average | 126 | 14 | 11 | 151 |
| \% Breakdown | 83.7\% | 9.3\% | 7.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Sources:

Building Permits - County of Haldimand Planning Department, 2013

1. Includes townhomes and apartments in duplexes.
2. Includes bachelor, 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom+ apartments.

## SCHEDULE 8a

HALDIMAND COUNTY PERSONS PER UNIT BY AGE AND TYPE OF DWELLING (2006 CENSUS)


1. The Census PPU has been adjusted to account for the downward PPU trend which has been recently experienced in both new and older units, largely due to the aging of the population
2. Includes townhomes and apartments in duplexes.
3. Includes bachelor, 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom+ apartments.

Note: Does not include Statistics Canada data classified as 'Other'
PPU Not calculated for samples less than or equal to 50 dwelling units, and does not include institutional population

## SCHEDULE 8b

## PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

## PERSONS PER UNIT BY AGE AND TYPE OF DWELLING (2006 CENSUS)

| Age of Dwelling | SINGLES AND SEMI-DETACHED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <1 BR | 1 BR | 2 BR | 3/4 BR | 5+ BR | Total | Adjusted PPU ${ }^{1}$ | 20 Year Average |
| 1-5 | 2.763 | 2.500 | 2.308 | 3.366 | 4.736 | 3.319 | 3.29 |  |
| 6-10 | 2.363 | 2.392 | 2.209 | 3.386 | 4.664 | 3.352 | 3.33 |  |
| 11-15 | 2.951 | 2.191 | 2.154 | 3.306 | 4.495 | 3.300 | 3.28 |  |
| 16-20 | 1.983 | 2.137 | 2.155 | 3.291 | 4.359 | 3.294 | 3.28 | 3.30 |
| 20-25 | 2.381 | 2.115 | 2.153 | 3.185 | 4.251 | 3.164 | 3.16 |  |
| 25-35 | 2.218 | 1.880 | 2.055 | 2.910 | 4.061 | 2.880 | 2.88 |  |
| 35+ | 1.911 | 1.663 | 1.975 | 2.748 | 3.764 | 2.611 | 2.61 |  |
| Total | 2.172 | 1.865 | 2.043 | 3.008 | 4.170 | 2.919 |  |  |



| Age of | APARTMENTS ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted PPU ${ }^{1}$ | 20 Year Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dwelling | < 1 BR | 1 BR | 2 BR | 3/4 BR | 5+ BR | Total |  |  |
| 1-5 | 1.540 | 1.500 | 1.942 | 2.895 | 3.781 | 1.788 | 1.77 |  |
| 6-10 | 1.637 | 1.501 | 1.959 | 3.044 | 3.400 | 1.882 | 1.86 |  |
| 11-15 | 1.563 | 1.450 | 2.073 | 3.176 | 4.708 | 1.903 | 1.89 |  |
| 16-20 | 1.663 | 1.477 | 2.018 | 3.177 | 4.150 | 1.915 | 1.91 | 1.86 |
| 20-25 | 1.596 | 1.506 | 2.148 | 3.154 | 4.539 | 1.978 | 1.97 |  |
| 25-35 | 1.510 | 1.481 | 2.153 | 3.089 | 4.068 | 1.986 | 1.98 |  |
| 35+ | 1.455 | 1.466 | 2.152 | 2.955 | 3.341 | 1.928 | 1.93 |  |
| Total | 1.508 | 1.476 | 2.112 | 3.029 | 3.595 | 1.933 |  |  |


| Age of Dwelling | ALL DENSITY TYPES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 1 BR | 1 BR | 2 BR | 3/4 BR | 5+ BR | Total |
| 1-5 | 1.723 | 1.700 | 2.118 | 3.269 | 4.724 | 2.957 |
| 6-10 | 1.787 | 1.728 | 2.076 | 3.291 | 4.646 | 3.027 |
| 11-15 | 1.700 | 1.551 | 2.103 | 3.250 | 4.496 | 2.871 |
| 16-20 | 1.738 | 1.565 | 2.066 | 3.263 | 4.361 | 2.914 |
| 20-25 | 1.668 | 1.581 | 2.158 | 3.175 | 4.283 | 2.770 |
| 25-35 | 1.560 | 1.523 | 2.139 | 2.932 | 4.071 | 2.562 |
| 35+ | 1.489 | 1.498 | 2.053 | 2.776 | 3.717 | 2.416 |
| Total | 1.573 | 1.540 | 2.084 | 3.003 | 4.136 | 2.633 |

[^6]
SCHEDULE 10a
HALDIMAND COUNT
EMPLOYMENT AND GROSS FLOOR AREA（GFA）FORECAST，MID 2014 TO MID 2034

|  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\text { Na }}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { On}}{\sim}$ |  | （1） | － | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \hline . ⿹ 勹 凶 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { m }}$ | ה］ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |  | － | $\underset{\sim}{\text { a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1．NFPOW－No fixed place of work employment is defined by Statistics Canada as＂persons who do not go from home to the same work place loaction at the beginning of each shift．＂Such persons include building and landscape contractors，travelling sales persons，independant truck drivers，etc．

1. Square Foot Per Employee Assumptions
SCHEDULE 10b
EMPLOYMENT AND GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) FORECAST, MID 2014 TO MID 2041

| Period | Population | Employment |  |  |  |  | Gross Floor Area in Square Feet (Estimated) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Industrial | Commerciall Population Related | Institutional | Total Employment | Industrial | Commerciall Population Related | Institutional | Total |
| 2001 | 43,728 | 805 | 5,558 | 3,893 | 2,335 | 12,590 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 45,212 | 830 | 5,633 | 4,383 | 2,705 | 13,550 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 44,874 | 855 | 5,470 | 4,490 | 3,050 | 13,865 |  |  |  |  |
| Mid 2014 | 45,113 | 863 | 5,551 | 4,559 | 3,103 | 14,076 |  |  |  |  |
| Mid 2024 | 49,967 | 884 | 6,211 | 4,882 | 3,339 | 15,316 |  |  |  |  |
| Mid 2034 | 56,581 | 914 | 7,089 | 5,281 | 3,649 | 16,933 |  |  |  |  |
| Incremental Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001-2006 | 1,484 | 25 | 75 | 490 | 370 | 960 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006-2011 | -338 | 25 | -163 | 108 | 345 | 315 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 - Mid 2014 | 239 | 8 | 81 | 69 | 53 | 211 |  |  |  |  |
| Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 4,854 | 21 | 660 | 323 | 236 | 1,240 | 858,000 | 177,650 | 165,200 | 1,200,850 |
| Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 11,468 | 51 | 1,538 | 722 | 546 | 2,857 | 1,999,400 | 397,100 | 382,200 | 2,778,700 |
| Annual Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001-2006 | 297 | 5 | 15 | 98 | 74 | 192 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006-2011 | -68 | 5 | -33 | 22 | 69 | 63 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 - Mid 2014 | 120 | 4 | 41 | 35 | 27 | 106 | 873,150 | 355,725 | 18,550 | 1,247,425 |
| Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 485 | 2 | 66 | 32 | 24 | 124 | 85,800 | 17,765 | 16,520 | 120,085 |
| Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 573 | 3 | 77 | 36 | 27 | 143 | 99,970 | 19,855 | 19,110 | 138,935 | Source: Watson \& Associates Economists L

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Industrial } & 1,300 \\
\text { Commercial/ Population Related } & 550 \\
\text { Institutional } & 700
\end{array}
$$

## HALDIMAND COUNTY

Watson \& Associates Economists Ltd.
SCHEDULE 10C
ESTIMATE OF THE ANTICIPATED AMOUNT, TYPE AND LOCATION OF
DEVELOPMENT FOR WHICH DEVELOPMENT CHARGES CAN BE IMPOSED

| DEVELOPMENT <br> LOCATION | TIMING | INDUSTRIAL <br> GFA S.F. | COMMERCIAL <br> GFA S.F. | INSTITUTIONAL <br> GFA S.F | TOTAL NON-RES <br> GFA S.F. | EMPLOYMENT INCREASE <br> (EXCLUDING WAH AND NFPOW) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caledonia | Mid 2014-Mid 2024 | 497,900 | 82,000 | 77,700 | 657,600 | 643 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 1,261,000 | 242,600 | 233,800 | 1,737,400 | 1,745 |
| Cayuga | Mid 2014-Mid 2024 | 16,900 | 21,500 | 19,600 | 58,000 | 80 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 49,400 | 28,100 | 26,600 | 104,100 | 127 |
| Dunnville | Mid 2014-Mid 2024 | 240,500 | 8,800 | 8,400 | 257,700 | 213 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 379,600 | 19,800 | 18,900 | 418,300 | 355 |
| Hagersville | Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | - | 55,000 | 51,100 | 106,100 | 173 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | - | 75,400 | 72,800 | 148,200 | 241 |
| Townsend | Mid 2014-Mid 2024 | - | - |  |  |  |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | - | 3,900 | 3,500 | 7,400 | 12 |
| Jarvis | Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 42,900 | 1,700 | - | 44,600 | 36 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 219,700 | 7,700 | 7,700 | 235,100 | 194 |
| Remaining Rural Areas | Mid 2014 - Mid 2024 | 59,800 | 8,800 | 8,400 | 77,000 | 95 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 89,700 | 19,800 | 18,900 | 128,400 | 183 |
| Haldimand County | Mid 2014-Mid 2024 | 858,000 | 177,700 | 165,200 | 1,200,900 | 1,240 |
|  | Mid 2014 - Mid 2034 | 1,999,400 | 397,100 | 382,200 | 2,778,700 | 2,857 |

Source: Watson \& Associates Economists Ltd., 2014
Numbers may not add precisely due to rounding.
SOURCE: STATISTICS CANADA PUBLICATION, 64-001-XIB
Note: Inflated to year-end 2013 (January, 2014) dollars using Reed Construction Cost Index

| YEAR | Industrial |  |  |  | Commercial |  |  |  | Institutional |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New | Improve | Additions | Total | New | Improve | Additions | Total | New | Improve | Additions | Total | New | Improve | Additions | Total |
| 2006 | 23,955 | 729 | 0 | 24,684 | 4,135 | 5,177 | 0 | 9,312 | 11 | 1,786 | 0 | 1,796 | 28,101 | 7,691 | 0 | 35,793 |
| 2007 | 12,482 | 771 | 285 | 13,539 | 420 | 655 | 0 | 1,075 | 2,498 | 1,150 | 399 | 4,047 | 15,400 | 2,576 | 685 | 18,661 |
| 2008 | 5,792 | 4,246 | 1,084 | 11,122 | 3,458 | 554 | 0 | 4,012 | 26 | 163 | 482 | 671 | 9,276 | 4,962 | 1,566 | 15,804 |
| 2009 | 5,470 | 3,202 | 0 | 8,672 | 37 | 1,233 | 271 | 1,541 | 2,092 | 1,132 | 0 | 3,225 | 7,599 | 5,568 | 271 | 13,438 |
| 2010 | 10,769 | 2,538 | 1,342 | 14,649 | 18,975 | 2,025 | 0 | 21,000 | 232 | 204 | 671 | 1,107 | 29,975 | 4,767 | 2,014 | 36,756 |
| 2011 | 11,734 | 1,046 | 2,155 | 14,934 | 975 | 1,843 | 2,137 | 4,955 | 120 | 3,729 | 0 | 3,849 | 12,828 | 6,618 | 4,292 | 23,738 |
| 2012 | 15,361 | 1,849 | 1,433 | 18,643 | 620 | 3,945 | 0 | 4,565 | 0 | 922 | 561 | 1,483 | 15,981 | 6,716 | 1,994 | 24,691 |
| Subtotal | 85,563 | 14,381 | 6,299 | 106,243 | 28,620 | 15,432 | 2,408 | 46,460 | 4,978 | 9,086 | 2,114 | 16,178 | 119,161 | 38,899 | 10,821 | 168,881 |
| Percent of Total | 81\% | 14\% | 6\% | 100\% | 62\% | 33\% | 5\% | 100\% | 31\% | 56\% | 13\% | 100\% | 71\% | 23\% | 6\% | 100\% |
| Average | 7,778 | 1,307 | 573 | 9,658 | 2,602 | 1,403 | 219 | 4,224 | 453 | 826 | 192 | 1,471 | 10,833 | 3,536 | 984 | 15,353 |
| 2006-2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006-2012 Total |  |  |  | 106,243 |  |  |  | 46,460 |  |  |  | 16,178 |  |  |  | 168,881 |
| 2006-2012 Average |  |  |  | 15,178 |  |  |  | 6,637 |  |  |  | 2,311 |  |  |  | 24,126 |
| \% Breakdown |  |  |  | 62.9\% |  |  |  | 27.5\% |  |  |  | 9.6\% |  |  |  | 100.0\% |

SCHEDULE 12
HALDIMAND COUNTY
EMPLOYMENT TO POPULATION RATIO BY MAJOR EMPLOYMENT SECTOR, 2001 TO 2006

|  |  | Year |  | Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2001 | 2006 | 01-06 | Comments |
| Employment by industry |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | Primary Industry Employment <br> All primary | 1,820 | 1,715 | -105 | Categories which relate to local land-based resources. |
| Sub-total |  | 1,820 | 1,715 | -105 |  |
| 2.0 <br> 2.1 <br> 2.2 <br> 2.3 <br> 2.4 | Industrial and Other Employment |  |  |  |  |
|  | Manufacturing | 3,370 | 3,105 | -265 | Categories which relate |
|  | Wholesale trade | 535 | 580 | 45 | primarily to industrial land |
|  | Construction | 525 | 785 | 260 | supply and demand. |
|  | Transportation, storage, communication and other utility | 1,583 | 1,578 | -5 |  |
| Sub-total |  | 6,013 | 6,048 | 35 |  |
| 3.0 <br> 3.1 <br> 3.2 <br> 3.3 <br> 3.4 | Population Related Employment |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail trade | 1,695 | 1,935 | 240 | Categories which relate |
|  | Finance, insurance, real estate operator and insurance agent | 420 | 425 | 5 | primarily to population |
|  | Business service | 653 | 748 | 95 | growth within the |
|  | Accommodation, food and beverage and other service | 1,900 | 1,950 | 50 | municipality. |
| Sub-total |  | 4,668 | 5,058 | 390 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \\ & 4.1 \\ & 4.2 \end{aligned}$ | Institutional |  |  |  |  |
|  | Government Service <br> Education service, Health, Social Services | 350 | 375 | 25 |  |
|  |  | 2,155 | 2,570 | 415 |  |
| Sub-total |  | 2,505 | 2,945 | 440 |  |
| Total Employment |  | 15,005 | 15,765 | 760 |  |
| Population |  | 43,728 | 45,212 | 1,484 |  |
| Employment to Population Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial and Other Employment |  | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.00 |  |
| Population Related Employment |  | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.01 |  |
| Institutional Employment |  | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.01 |  |
| Primary Industry Employment |  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 |  |
| Total |  | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.01 |  |

## Source: Statistics Canada Employment by Place of Work

Note: 2001-2006 employment figures are classified by Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Code

## APPENDIX B

## LEVEL OF SERVICE

## APPENDIX B - LEVEL OF SERVICE CEILING <br> HALDIMAND COUNTY

SUMMARY OF SERVICE STANDARDS AS PER DEVELOPMENT CHARGES ACT, 1997

| Service Category | Sub-Component | 10 Year Average Service Standard |  |  |  | Maximum Ceiling LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cost (per capita) |  | Quantity (per capita) | Quality (per capita) |  |
| Public Works - Buildings \& Fleet | Public Works <br> Fleet | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 230.16 \\ & \$ 321.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7805 \\ & 0.0054 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of building area <br> No. of vehicles and equipment | 129 per ft ${ }^{2}$ 59,504 per vehicle | $\begin{aligned} & 2,639,475 \\ & 3,684,898 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fire | Fire Facilities <br> Fire Vehicles <br> Fire Small Equipment and Gear | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 309.22 \\ \$ 221.25 \\ \$ 65.64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2941 \\ & 0.0011 \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of building area <br> No. of vehicles <br> Value of equipment | 239 per ft ${ }^{2}$ <br> 201,136 per vehicle $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ per Firefighter | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3,546,135 \\ 2,537,295 \\ 752,779 \end{array}$ |
| Parking | Parking Facilities | \$47.37 | 5.4215 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of parking area | 9 per ft ${ }^{2}$ | 229,934 |
| Cemeteries | Cemeteries | \$112.92 | 0.0009 | No. of developed cemetery Hectares | 125,467 per ft ${ }^{2}$ | 548,114 |
| Outdoor Recreation | Parkland Development <br> Parkland Amenities <br> Parkland Amenities - Buildings <br> Parkland Trails <br> Parks Vehicles and Equipment | $\$ 650.59$ \$418.27 <br> $\$ 21.90$ <br> $\$ 50.96$ <br> $\$ 56.34$ | 0.0139 <br> 0.0038 <br> 0.2028 <br> 0.6753 <br> 0.0022 | No. of developed parkland Acres <br> No. of parkland amenities <br> $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of building area <br> Linear Metres of Paths and Trails <br> No. of vehicles and equipment | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 46,805 \text { per acre } \\ & 110,071 \text { per amenity } \\ & 108 \text { per } \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\ & 75 \text { per lin } \mathrm{m} . \\ & 25,609 \text { per vehicle } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3,157,964 \\ 2,030,283 \\ 251,149 \\ 247,360 \\ 273,474 \end{array}$ |
| Indoor Recreation | Indoor Recreation Facilities | \$1,295.84 | 7.0081 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of building area | 185 per ft ${ }^{2}$ | 6,290,007 |
| Library | Library Facilities Library Materials \& Equipment | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 213.84 \\ & \$ 128.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8503 \\ & 3.8667 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of building area Number of Items | 251 per ft ${ }^{2}$ <br> 33 per collection item | $\begin{array}{r} 1,037,979 \\ 625,438 \end{array}$ |
| Ambulance | Ambulance Facilities <br> Ambulance Vehicles \& Equipment | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 51.45 \\ & \$ 21.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2639 \\ & 0.0025 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of building area <br> No. of vehicles and equipment | 195 per ft ${ }^{2}$ <br> 8,676 per vehicle | $\begin{aligned} & 249,738 \\ & 105,283 \end{aligned}$ |

Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

## Fire Small Equipment and Gear Value of equipment <br> Service: Unit Measure

| Quantity Measure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Communications Equipment | 43,810 | 43,810 | 43,810 | 43,810 | 43,810 | 43,810 | 58,807 | 58,807 | 253,575 | 257,125 |
| Air Bags | 21,566 | 21,566 | 21,566 | 21,566 | 21,566 | 21,566 | 21,566 | 21,566 | 34,261 | 34,740 |
| Bunker Gear | 227,659 | 227,659 | 227,659 | 227,659 | 227,659 | 227,659 | 267,478 | 267,478 | 356,567 | 361,559 |
| Pumps | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 342,455 | 327,225 | 327,225 | 315,695 | 320,115 |
| Generator | 52,288 | 52,288 | 52,288 | 52,288 | 52,288 | 52,288 | 56,104 | 56,104 | 60,965 | 61,819 |
| Rams | 70,782 | 70,782 | 70,782 | 70,782 | 70,782 | 70,782 | 70,782 | 70,782 | 70,782 | 71,773 |
| Fire Fighting Equipment/Tools | 650,000 | 650,000 | 650,000 | 650,000 | 650,000 | 688,257 | 653,480 | 653,480 | 688,257 | 697,893 |
| Gas Detection | 6,033 | 6,033 | 6,033 | 6,033 | 6,033 | 6,033 | 12,508 | 12,508 | 23,058 | 23,381 |
| SCBA | 384,425 | 384,425 | 384,425 | 384,425 | 384,425 | 384,425 | 384,846 | 384,846 | 572,028 | 580,036 |
| Defibrillators | 63,256 | 63,256 | 63,256 | 63,256 | 63,256 | 63,256 | 60,816 | 60,816 | 67,444 | 68,388 |
| Saw | 33,807 | 33,807 | 33,807 | 33,807 | 33,807 | 33,807 | 29,541 | 29,541 | 33,750 | 34,223 |
| Cutter | 75,407 | 75,407 | 75,407 | 75,407 | 75,407 | 75,407 | 75,407 | 75,407 | 91,088 | 92,363 |
| Spreader | 83,535 | 83,535 | 83,535 | 83,535 | 83,535 | 83,535 | 61,255 | 61,255 | 83,535 | 84,705 |
| Thermal Camera | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 96,149 | 77,214 | 77,214 | 96,149 | 97,496 |
| Air Fill Station | 256,301 | 256,301 | 256,301 | 256,301 | 256,301 | 256,301 | 256,301 | 256,301 | 204,976 | 207,846 |
| Nozzle and Appliances | 20,235 | 20,235 | 20,235 | 20,235 | 20,235 | 20,235 | 39,835 | 39,835 | 77,641 | 78,728 |
| Miscellaneous | 235,000 | 335,622 | 335,622 | 335,622 | 335,622 | 335,622 | 459,004 | 471,805 | 612,739 | 621,318 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,629,104 | 2,729,727 | 2,729,727 | 2,729,727 | 2,729,727 | 2,801,589 | 2,912,169 | 2,924,970 | 3,642,511 | 3,693,506 |
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| DC Amount (before deductions) | 20 Year |
| :--- | ---: |
| Forecast Population | 11,468 |
| $\$$ per Capita | $\$ 321$ |
| Eligible Amount | $\$ 3,684,898$ |

Sheet

Haldimand County

| Service: Unit Measure: | Public Works $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of building area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | (\$/t $1 t^{2}$ ) <br> 2014 Bld'g Value $\left(\$ / t^{2}\right)$ | Value/ft ${ }^{2}$ with land, site works, etc. |
| Dunnville |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Works Garage - Shop/Office - Dunnville | 7,200 | 7,200 | 7,200 | 7,200 | 7,200 | 7,980 | 7,980 | 7,980 | 7,980 | 7,980 | \$139 | \$ 267 |
| Salt Dome - Dunnville | 1,963 | 1,963 | 1,963 | 1,963 | 1,963 | 6,907 | 6,907 | 6,907 | 6,907 | 6,907 | \$63 | \$ 66 |
| Sand Dome (2) - Dunnville | 4,869 | 4,869 | 4,869 | 4,869 | 4,869 | 5,163 | 5,163 | 5,163 | 5,163 | 5,163 | \$39 | \$ 41 |
| Storage Shed \#1 - Dunnville | 576 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 247 | 247 | 247 | 247 | 247 | \$70 | \$ 74 |
| Storage Shed \#2 - Dunnville | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 240 | \$70 | \$ 74 |
| Storage Shed \#3 - Dunnville | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | \$70 | \$ 74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kohler |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Bay Garage - Kohler | - |  | - | - | - | 2,123 | 2,123 | 2,123 | 2,123 | 2,123 | \$139 | \$ 174 |
| Storage Shed - Kohler | - | - | - | - | - | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | \$70 | \$ 99 |
| Equipment Storage Depot - Works Building - Kohler | 13,200 | 13,200 | 13,200 | 13,200 | 13,200 | 10,600 | 10,600 | 10,600 | 10,600 | 10,600 | \$139 | \$ 158 |
| Storage Shed - Kohler | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | \$70 | \$ 86 |
| Public Works Building - Oneida | 8,032 | 8,032 | 8,032 | 8,032 | 8,032 | 8,262 | 8,262 | 8,262 | 8,262 | 8,262 | \$139 | \$ 155 |
| Salt Shed - Oneida | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | \$70 | \$ 83 |
| Storage Shed - Oneida | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 | \$70 | \$ 82 |
| Walpole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Works Building - Nanticoke (Walpole) | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | \$119 | \$ 140 |
| Sand/Salt Domes - Walpole | 4,072 | 4,072 | 4,072 | 4,072 | 4,072 | 4,072 | 4,072 | 4,072 | 4,072 | 4,072 | \$33 | 50 |
| Sand/Salt Domes - Walpole | - | - | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 5,983 | 5,983 | 5,983 | 5,983 | 5,983 | \$46 | 64 |
| Traffic Building - Walpole | - | - | - | - | - | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | \$70 | \$ 123 |
| Jarvis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Storage - Jarvis Quonset | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,320 | 3,320 | 3,320 | 3,320 | 3,320 | \$83 | \$ 123 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cayuga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roads Building - Cayuga | 12,108 | 12,108 | 12,108 | 12,108 | 12,108 | 12,108 | 12,108 | 12,108 | 12,108 | 12,108 | \$139 | \$ 162 |
| Salt Dome (large) - Cayuga | 5,281 | 5,281 | 5,281 | 5,281 | 5,281 | 5,281 | 5,281 | 5,281 | 5,281 | 5,281 | \$35 | 52 |
| Sand Dome (small) - Cayuga | 2,443 | 2,443 | 2,443 | 2,443 | 2,443 | 2,443 | 2,443 | 2,443 | 2,443 | 2,443 | \$57 | 76 |
| Equipment Shed - Cayuga | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | \$70 | \$ 90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 72,444 | 72,444 | 78,444 | 78,444 | 78,444 | 84,150 | 84,150 | 84,150 | 84,150 | 84,150 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population | 44,589 | 45,037 | 45,212 | 45,234 | 45,155 | 45,074 | 45,069 | 44,874 | 44,779 | 44,840 |  |  |
| Per Capita Standard | 1.6247 | 1.6085 | 1.7350 | 1.7342 | 1.7372 | 1.8669 | 1.8671 | 1.8753 | 1.8792 | 1.8767 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Year Average | 2004-2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quantity Standard | 1.7805 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quality Standard | \$129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Standard | \$230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DC Amount (before deductions) | 20 Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forecast Population | 11,468 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ per Capita | \$230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible Amount | \$2,639,475 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Haldimand County
Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet
Service：
Unit Measure：
Quantity Measure
高



Haldimand County

[^7]Service Standard Calculation Sheet
Parkland Development
No．of developed parkland Acres

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 80 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 <br> 8 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 4 | $88$ | $8$ | $8$ |  | 8 <br>  <br> 0 <br> 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Q } \\ & \text { on } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \mathrm{B} \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & \hline 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $8{ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \dot{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\overbrace{0}^{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{9} \underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} .$ | $\stackrel{-1}{0} \cdot \stackrel{0}{0}$ | Nin | $\infty$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{寸} \stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | $\dot{\rho}$ | $0$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { m }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ne }}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  |  | N |
| $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \dot{\gamma} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{0}^{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\dot{0} \dot{0}$ | Pis in | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ |  | Bi | O- M | $\dot{b}$ | $0$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \underset{\sim}{i}$ |  |  | N |
| $\underset{\sim}{7}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \dot{\gamma} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underbrace{\infty}_{0} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{0} 0$ | Oin in | $\operatorname{Ln}_{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\mathfrak{d r y}$ | $\dot{+\circ}$ |  | $0$ |  | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\dot{\sigma}}$ | $\stackrel{-}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\cap}{\sim}$ |  | بن |  |  | N |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}}$ |  | $\dot{\gamma}$ | $\overbrace{0}^{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\dot{c}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{9} \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | ço |  | Nin in | ${ }_{\infty}^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{o}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{-1}{2} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim}$ | - | $\dot{\rho}$ | $0$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ |
| ö̀ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \dot{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | on |  | ${ }_{c}^{\text {ço }}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0} 0$ | Nin | ¢ٌ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\dot{y}$ | - |  | $0$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ |  | no |  |  | $\underset{\text {－}}{\text {－}}$ |
| © |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \dot{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \hline \mathrm{O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\overbrace{0}^{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{j} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{0} \cdot 6$ | Binc | ${ }_{\sim}^{\circ} \mathrm{n}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ |  | $\underset{-1}{2} \underset{\sim}{\circ} \underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\dot{-\quad \circ}$ |  | $0$ |  | $\dot{\sigma}$ | $\stackrel{-1}{\text {－}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}}{\mathrm{~A}}$ |  |  |  |  | － |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}}$ |  | O-M | $\overbrace{0}^{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~m}} \underset{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ço }}$ |  | N | $\sim_{\infty} \mathrm{n}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{-}{\circ}$ |  | $0$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{-}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\cap}{\stackrel{\circ}{7}}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{i}$ |  |  | － |
| O O |  | $$ | ${ }^{\omega}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\dot{0} 0$ | Bin | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\text { m }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\dot{y}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { ம⿵冂 }}$ | $\stackrel{\Perp}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  | － |
| OిN |  | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ${ }_{0}^{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{j} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\hat{N}$ | $\dot{0} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0}$ | PNix | ${ }_{\infty}^{\circ} \mathrm{n}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} n \\ \dot{q} \\ \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | $\mathrm{c}_{0} \mathrm{moc}$ |  |  | $\dot{\sigma}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{4}}{1}$ |  | N－ |  |  | － |
| ষ্ম |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | ¢ 0 | Nix Nos | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{-1}{2} \dot{\rightarrow}$ | - |  |  |  | $\dot{\sigma}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\stackrel{-}{4}}$ |  | ल. |  |  | － |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （9000 |

Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

| Service: <br> Unit Measure: | Parkland Amenities No. of parkland amenities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 Value (\$/item) |
| SOCCER FIELDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate Soccer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lions Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$28,000 |
| Kinsmen Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | \$28,000 |
| Dunnville Soccer Park (1 field lit) | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | \$42,000 |
| McKinnon Park - Caledonia (Unlit) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | \$111,000 |
| Broechler Fields - Cayuga (lit) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | \$70,100 |
| Mcclung Soccer Park | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | \$28,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice/Scrub |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oneida | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$12,900 |
| Selkirk Athletic Field (diamond \#2) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$12,900 |
| Canfield | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$12,900 |
| Jarvis | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$12,900 |
| Springvale Athletic Field (diamond \#2) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$12,900 |
| Lions Park - Hagersville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$12,900 |
| Fisherville Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$12,900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mini Soccer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Woodlawn | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | \$28,000 |
| Dunnville Soccer Park | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \$16,200 |
| Townsend (crn Nanticoke Crk Pkwy) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | \$16,200 |
| Broecheler | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | \$16,200 |
| Mcclung Soccer Park | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | \$16,200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BALL DIAMONDS \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unlit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canboro Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$42,000 |
| Oswego Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$42,000 |
| Kinsmen Park Dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$62,500 |
| Grant Kett Park - Hagersville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$42,000 |
| Edinburgh Square - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$42,000 |
| Fisherville Park Kinsmen | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$42,000 |
| Oneida Park - Fred Prince | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$42,000 |
| Hardball Diamond - Caledonia - Kinsmen | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | \$42,000 |
| Rainham Centre | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$42,000 |
| Springvale Athletic Field (diamond \#1) | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$36,600 |
| Springvale Athletic Field (diamond \#2) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$36,600 |
| Kinsmen Park - Cayuga |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$42,000 |

Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

| Service: <br> Unit Measure: | Parkland Amenities No. of parkland amenities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 Value (\$/item) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lions Park - dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$92,700 |
| Kinsmen Park - Dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$145,500 |
| Grant Kett Park - Hagersville | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \$185,400 |
| McKinnon Park - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$92,700 |
| Kinsmen Park - Caledonia | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$32,300 |
| Kinsmen Park - Cayuga | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$92,700 |
| Fisherville Park | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | \$185,400 |
| Oneida Park - Fred Prince | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$92,700 |
| Jarvis Lions Athletic Field (diamond \#1) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$153,100 |
| Jarvis Lions Athletic Field (diamond \#2) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$92,700 |
| Selkirk Athletic Field (diamond \#1) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$92,700 |
| Nanticoke Athletic Field | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$92,700 |
| Springvale Athletic Field (diamond \#1) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | \$132,600 |
| Ramsey Park - Dunnville | - | - | - ${ }^{-1}$ | - | - ${ }^{-1}$ | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$414,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TENNIS COURTS (\#) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lions Park (Lit) - Hagersville | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \$37,700 |
| Kinsmen Park - Caledonia (Lit) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \$37,700 |
| Kinsmen Park - Cayuga (Lit) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \$37,700 |
| Lions Park (Lit) - Jarivs | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | \$56,600 |
| Forest Park Dr (Lit) - Townsend | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | \$56,600 |
| Dunnville (Unlit) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | \$35,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OUTDOOR POOLS (\#) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dunnville Lions Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$2,224,700 |
| Dunnville Central Park - wading pool | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$118,600 |
| Caledonia (Pool plus Diving Pool) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \$3,015,000 |
| Hagersville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$2,224,700 |
| Caledonia - wading pool/now splash pad in 2013 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$720,000 |
| Hagersville - wading pool | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$118,600 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER FACILITIES (\#) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fisherville Town Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$29,100 |
| Selkirk Athletic Field | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$29,100 |
| Thistlemoor Park - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$29,100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

| Service: <br> Unit Measure: | Parkland Amenities <br> No. of parkland amenities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 Value (\$/item) |
| PLAYGROUNDS \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bob Baigent Memorial Park-Cayuga | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Burke Estates Park - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Canfield | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Central Park - Dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Dunrobin Park - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Esplanade Park - Dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Forest Park - Townsend | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Grant Kett Park - Hagersville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Highland Heights - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Kinsmen Park - Dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Kinsmen Park - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Kinsmen Ball Park - Cayuga | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Lions Park - Dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Lions Park - Fisherville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Lions Park - Jarvis | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Lions Park - Hagersville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| McKinnon Park - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Nanticoke Athletic Field | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Oswego Park - Dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Scott Acres Park - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Selkirk Athletic Field | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Springvale Athletic Field | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Paisley Square - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Thistlemoor - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| West End - Hagersville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| Williamson Woods - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$75,000 |
| York Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPECIAL FACILITIES (\#) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat Ramps/ Docks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Esplanade | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$10,000 |
| Pt. Maitland East | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$10,000 |
| Wingfield Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$22,000 |
| Garfield Disher Park - Dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$26,900 |
| Bob Baigent Memorial Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$26,900 |
| LaFortune Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$26,900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

| Service: <br> Unit Measure: | Parkland Amenities No. of parkland amenities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 Value (\$/item) |
| Picnic Pavilions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Centennial Park Pavilion -Dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$24,600 |
| Jarvis Lions Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$100,200 |
| Kinsmen Park - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$29,000 |
| Lions Park Pavilion - Hagersville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$78,400 |
| McKinnon Park - Caledonia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$25,900 |
| Grant Kett Park - Hagersville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$48,700 |
| Bob Baigent Memorial Park - Cayuga | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$51,700 |
| Nanticoke Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$34,100 |
| Selkirk Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$228,400 |
| Springvale Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$62,300 |
| Oneida Ball Park - Fred Prince | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$110,600 |
| Lions Park - Fisherville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$110,600 |
| Lafortune Park \#1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$43,500 |
| Lafortune Park \#2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$22,500 |
| Broecheler Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$34,800 |
| Caledonia Soccer | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$34,600 |
| Cayuga Village Green | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$11,800 |
| Dunnville Garfield Disher Pavillion | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$19,300 |
| Dunnville Kinsmen Park Pavilion | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$78,600 |
| Dunnville Lions Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$34,600 |
| Dunnville Soccer Pavilion | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$34,800 |
| Moulton Fire Hall Pavilion | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$17,400 |
| Townsend Lions Club | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$118,200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Building Lions Park Jarvis | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$39,900 |
| Storage Building Hwy 6 Lions Prk Jarvis | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$115,300 |
| Parks Storage Garage Townsend | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$108,900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caledonia Kinsmen Park, Washrooms | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$47,600 |
| Caledonia Lafortune - Washrooms | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$68,700 |
| Cayuga Kinsmen Park, Concession Booth | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$120,800 |
| Dunnville Kinsmen Park Washrooms / Pavilion | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$78,600 |
| Dunnville Lions Park Washrooms/ Meeting Rooms | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$353,400 |

Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

| Service: <br> Unit Measure: | Parkland Amenities No. of parkland amenities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 Value (\$/item) |
| Fisherville Lions Park Booth / Washrooms | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$92,900 |
| Hagersville Kett Park, Booth / Washrooms | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$41,100 |
| Hagersville Lions Memorial Park, Picnic Shelter / Washrooms | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$78,400 |
| Nanticoke Concession Booth | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$68,000 |
| Oneida Concession Booth / Washrooms | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$28,800 |
| Selkirk Community Park, Concession Booth | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$28,300 |
| Selkirk Community Park, Washrooms | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$24,200 |
| Springvale Park, Concession Booth/Washrooms | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$89,900 |
| Townsend Lions Club, Washrooms/Lime Storage | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$33,100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caledonia Kinsmen Park - Gazebo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | \$216,000 |
| Lions Park Pavilion - Dunnville | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$75,500 |
| Central Park Band Shell - Dunnville | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$68,200 |
| Lawn Bowling Clubhouse \& Pavilion Edinburgh Square Park | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$124,000 |
| Total | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 167 | 167 | 168 | 168 | 170 |  |

[^8][^9]Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 Building Value <br> (\$/sq.ft.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Workshop \& Garage - Riverside | 2,360 | 2,360 | 2,360 | 2,360 | 2,360 | 2,360 | 2,360 | 2,360 | 2,360 | 2,360 | \$ 108.00 |
| Lafortune Mtce Shope \& Storage | 2,727 | 2,727 | 2,727 | 2,727 | 2,727 | 2,727 | 2,727 | 2,727 | 2,727 | 2,727 | \$ 108.00 |
| Caledonia Cemetery Storage/Workshop | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 | \$ 108.00 |
| Cayuga Workshop | 3,257 | 3,257 | 3,257 | 3,257 | 3,257 | 3,257 | 3,257 | 3,257 | 3,257 | 3,257 | \$ 108.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 9,124 | 9,124 | 9,124 | 9,124 | 9,124 | 9,124 | 9,124 | 9,124 | 9,124 | 9,124 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population | 44,589 | 45,037 | 45,212 | 45,234 | 45,155 | 45,074 | 45,069 | 44,874 | 44,779 | 44,840 |  |
| Per Capita Standars | 0.2046 | 0.2026 | 0.2018 | 0.2017 | 0.2021 | 0.2024 | 0.2024 | 0.2033 | 0.2038 | 0.2035 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Year Average | 2004-2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quantity Standard | 0.2028 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quality Standard | \$108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Standarc | \$22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DC Amount (before deductions) | 10 Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forecast Population | 11,468 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ per Capita | \$22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible Amoun | \$251,149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet



| DC Amount (before deductions) | 10 Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forecast Populatior | 4,854 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ per Capita | $\$ 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible Amount | $\$ 247,360$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet
Service:
Unit Measure:
Library Facilities
$\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of building area


Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 Value (\$/item) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LIBRARY MATERIALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Books | 134,297 | 134,297 | 152,921 | 155,034 | 157,212 | - | - | - | - | - | \$28 |
| Audio Visual( CD's, Tapes Videos) | 8,942 | 8,942 | 12,567 | 12,998 | 14,149 | - | - | - | - | - | \$33 |
| Periodicals | 5,119 | 5,119 | 5,337 | 4,714 | 4,243 | - | - | - | - | - | \$5 |
| Library Materials - Combined | - | - | - | - | - | 181,580 | 184,021 | 182,455 | 187,674 | 187,906 | \$28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIBRARY EQUIPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Library Furniture Pools (shelves, furniture etc.) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$953,480 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| †otal | 148,359 | 148,359 | 170,826 | 172,747 | 175,605 | 181,581 | 184,022 | 182,456 | 187,675 | 187,907 |  |


| Population | 44,589 | 45,037 | 45,212 | 45,234 | 45,155 | 45,074 | 45,069 | 44,874 | 44,779 | 44,840 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Per Capita Standard | 3.3273 | 3.2942 | 3.7783 | 3.8190 | 3.8889 | 4.0285 | 4.0831 | 4.0660 | 4.1911 | 4.1906 |


Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet
Cemeteries

| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 Value <br> （\＄／Hectare） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anger \＆River Rd． | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | \＄118，565 |
| Addy | 0.12 | 0.12 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | \＄118，565 |
| All Saints Anglican Cemetery | 0.12 | 0.12 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | \＄118，565 |
| McCloy／Ballsville | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | \＄118，565 |
| Bethel | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | \＄118，565 |
| Bretzler | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | \＄118，565 |
| Briggs | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | \＄118，565 |
| C．Bell Farm | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | \＄118，565 |
| Caledonia | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 6.31 | \＄118，565 |
| Caledonia Methodist | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | \＄118，565 |
| Canboro | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | \＄118，565 |
| Canfield | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | \＄118，565 |
| Riverside Cemetery－Cayuga | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | \＄118，565 |
| Cheapside Baptist Church | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | \＄118，565 |
| Rockport／Chrysler | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | \＄118，565 |
| Cowell Private | 0.12 | 0.12 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | \＄118，565 |
| Davis Family | － | － | － | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | \＄118，565 |
| Decewsville | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | \＄118，565 |
| Decew－Young | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | \＄118，565 |
| Diltz Road | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | \＄118，565 |
| Ebeneezer | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | \＄118，565 |
| Fawcett Family | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | \＄118，565 |
| George Upper | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | \＄118，565 |
| Hagersville Union | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.22 | \＄118，565 |
| Highbanks | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | \＄118，565 |
| Huffman | 0.12 | 0.12 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | \＄118，565 |
| Inman Road | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | \＄118，565 |
| Kirk | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | \＄118，565 |
| Long Pioneer | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | \＄118，565 |
| Lowbanks | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | \＄118，565 |
| McGaw | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | \＄118，565 |
| McCollum | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | \＄118，565 |
| Melick | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.02 | \＄118，565 |
| Moote Road | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | \＄118，565 |
| Mount Carmel | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | \＄118，565 |
| Mount Healy | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | \＄118，565 |
| Norfolk County Home | 0.12 | 0.12 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | \＄118，565 |
| Old Anglican（Circularville） | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | \＄118，565 |

Haldimand County
Service Standard C
Service:
No. of developed cemetery Hectares

| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 Value (\$/Hectare) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Old Methodist | 0.12 | 0.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$118,565 |
| Port Mailland \& Non-Sectarian | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | \$118,565 |
| Primitive Methodist Church | 0.12 | 0.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$118,565 |
| Providence | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | \$118,565 |
| Rainham Centre | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | \$118,565 |
| Reformed Mennonite | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | \$118,565 |
| Riverside Dunnville | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.37 | \$118,565 |
| Robert Decew | 0.12 | 0.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$118,565 |
| Roman Catholic | 0.12 | 0.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$118,565 |
| South Cayuga | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | \$118,565 |
| Union Cemetery | 0.12 | 0.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$118,565 |
| Steen/Upper (Town Hall) | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | \$118,565 |
| Woodlawn | 13.03 | 13.03 | 13.03 | 13.03 | 13.03 | 13.03 | 13.03 | 13.03 | 13.03 | 13.03 | \$118,565 |
| Young Tract Burial Ground - Pending | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | \$118,565 |
| Zore | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | \$118,565 |
| Total | 43.66 | 43.66 | 42.46 | 42.47 | 42.47 | 42.47 | 42.48 | 42.48 | 42.48 | 43.81 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population | 44,589 | 45,037 | 45,212 | 45,234 | 45,155 | 45,074 | 45,069 | 44,874 | 44,779 | 44,840 |  |
| Per Capita Standarc | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.0010 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Year Average | 2004-2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quantity Standard | 0.0009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quality Standard | \$125,467 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Standarc | \$113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DC Amount (before deductions) | 10 Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forecast Population | 4,854 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ per Capita | \$113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible Amoun | \$548,114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet

| Description | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 Bld'g <br> Value <br> (\$/tic) | Value/ft ${ }^{2}$ with land, site works etc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dunnville Base - 117 Forest | 3,850 | 3,850 | 3,850 | 3,850 | 3,850 | 3,850 | 3,850 | 3,850 | 3,850 | 3,850 | \$175 | \$ 195.00 |
| Hagersville Base (leased from hospital) | 2,648 | 2,648 | 2,648 | 2,648 | 2,648 | 2,648 | 2,648 | 2,648 | 2,648 | 2,648 | \$175 | \$ 193.00 |
| Caledonia | 2,925 | 2,925 | 2,925 | 2,925 | 2,925 | 2,925 | 2,925 | 2,925 | 2,925 | 2,925 | \$175 | \$ 195.00 |
| Kohler | 2,448 | 2,448 | 2,448 | 2,448 | 2,448 | 2,448 | 2,448 | 2,448 | 2,448 | 2,448 | \$175 | \$ 197.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11,871 | 11,871 | 11,871 | 11,871 | 11,871 | 11,871 | 11,871 | 11,871 | 11,871 | 11,871 |  |  |

[^10]Haldimand County
Service Standard Calculation Sheet


# APPENDIX C LONG TERM CAPITAL AND OPERATING COST EXAMINATION 

## APPENDIX C - LONG TERM CAPITAL AND OPERATING COST EXAMINATION

## COUNTY OF HALDIMAND

## ANNUAL CAPITAL AND OPERATING COST IMPACT

As a requirement of the Development Charges Act, 1997 under subsection 10(2)(c), an analysis must be undertaken to assess the long-term capital and operating cost impacts for the capital infrastructure projects identified within the development charge. As part of this analysis, it was deemed necessary to isolate the incremental operating expenditures directly associated with these capital projects, factor in cost saving attributable to economies of scale or cost sharing where applicable, and prorate the cost on a per unit basis (i.e. sq.ft. of building space, per vehicle, etc.). This was undertaken through a review of the County's approved 2012 Financial Information Return (FIR).

In addition to the operational impacts, over time the initial capital projects will require replacement. This replacement of capital is often referred to as life cycle cost. By definition, life cycle costs are all the costs which are incurred during the life of a physical asset, from the time its acquisition is first considered, to the time it is taken out of service for disposal or redeployment. The method selected for life cycle costing is the sinking fund method which provides that money will be contributed annually and invested, so that those funds will grow over time to equal the amount required for future replacement. The following factors were utilized to calculate the annual replacement cost of the capital projects (annual contribution $=$ factor $X$ capital asset cost) and are based on an annual growth rate of 2\% (net of inflation) over the average useful life of the asset:

| ASSET | LIFECYCLE COST FACTORS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AVERAGE USEFUL <br> LIFE | FACTOR |
| Water and Wastewater Services | 80 | 0.005161 |
| Roads | 20 | 0.041157 |
| Facilities | 40 | 0.016556 |
| Public Works Vehicles | 10 | 0.091327 |
| Fire Vehicles | 15 | 0.057825 |
| Fire Small Equipment \& Gear | 8 | 0.116510 |
| Parkland Development | 30 | 0.002465 |

Table C-1 depicts the annual operating impact resulting from the proposed gross capital projects at the time they are all in place. It is important to note that, while municipal program expenditures will increase with growth in population, the costs associated with the new infrastructure (i.e. facilities) would be delayed until the time these works are in place.

Table C-1
HALDIMAND COUNTY

## OPERATING AND CAPITAL EXPENDITURE IMPACTS <br> FOR FUTURE CAPITAL EXPENDITURES



## APPENDIX D DEVELOPMENT CHARGE RESERVE FUND POLICY

## APPENDIX D - DEVELOPMENT CHARGE RESERVE FUND POLICY

## D. 1 Legislative Requirements

The DCA, 1997 requires development charge collections (and associated interest) to be placed in separate reserve funds. Sections 33 through 36 of the Act provide the following regarding reserve fund establishment and use:

- a Municipality shall establish a reserve fund for each service to which the DC by-law relates; s.7(1), however, allows services to be grouped into categories of services for reserve fund (and credit) purposes, although only 100\% eligible and $90 \%$ eligible services may be combined (minimum of two reserve funds);
- the Municipality shall pay each development charge it collects into a reserve fund or funds to which the charge relates;
- the money in a reserve fund shall be spent only for the "capital costs" determined through the legislated calculation process (as per s.5(1) 2-8);
- money may be borrowed from the fund but must be paid back with interest (O.Reg. 82/98, s.11(1) defines this as the Bank of Canada rate either on the day the by-law comes into force or, if specified in the by-law, the first business day of each quarter); and
- DC reserve funds may not be consolidated with other municipal reserve funds for investment purposes (s.37).

Annually, the Treasurer of the Municipality is required to provide Council with a financial statement related to the DC by-law(s) and reserve funds. This statement must also be forwarded to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing within 60 days of the statement being filed with Council.
O.Reg. 82/98 prescribes the information that must be included in the Treasurer's statement, as follows:

- opening balance;
- closing balance;
- description of each service and/or service category for which the reserve fund was established;
- transactions for the year (e.g. collections, draws);
- list of credits by service or service category (outstanding at beginning of the year, given in the year and outstanding at the end of the year by holder);
- amounts borrowed, purpose of the borrowing and interest accrued during previous year;
- amount and source of money used by the Municipality to repay municipal obligations to the fund;
- schedule identifying the value of credits recognized by the County, the service to which it applies and the source of funding used to finance the credit; and
- for each draw, the amount spent on the project from the DC reserve fund and the amount and source of any other monies spent on the project.

Based upon the above, Figure D-1 sets out the format for which annual reporting to Council should be provided.

## D. 2 DC Reserve Fund Application

## Section 35 of the DCA states that:

"The money in a reserve fund established for a service may be spent only for capital costs determined under paragraphs 2 to 8 of subsection 5(1)."

This provision clearly establishes that reserve funds collected for a specific service are only to be used for that service.

|  | ¢ | ' |  | ' | ' | , | , |  | ' | , | , | ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | ' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  | ' | ' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ' | ' |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\bar{U}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\ddot{U}} \\ & \stackrel{U}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | ' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ' |  |  |  | ' | ' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ij }}{\underline{j}}$ | Development Charge Proceeds | \#ّ | \|Accrued Interest Allocation |  | ジ® |  |  |  |  |

SAMPLE DEVELOPMENT CHARGE RESERV

|  | Town Wide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Urban Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reserve Fund | Roads and Related | Parking Services | Fire Protection Services | Leisure Services | Library Board | General Government | Cemeteries | Ambulance | Stormwater Drainage and Control Services | Wastewater Services | Water Services |
| Balance as of January 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plus: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development Charge Collections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repayment of Monies Borrowed from Fund and Associated Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount Transferred to Capital (or Other) Funds \{1\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amounts Refunded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amounts Loaned to Other DC Service Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credits \{2\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monies Borrowed from Fund for Other Municipal Purposes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December 31 Closing Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\{1\}See Attachment 1 for details
\{2\}See Attachment 2 for details

## Attachment 1

## SAMPLE DEVELOPMENT CHARGE RESERVE FUND STATEMENT HALDIMAND COUNTY FOR THE YEAR <br> $\qquad$

| DISCOUNTED SERVICES RESERVE FUND TRANSFERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Project | DC Reserve <br> Fund Draw | Operating Fund <br> Draw | Other Reserves <br> Fund Draw | Debt |  |  | Total | ( |
| :--- |

## Attachment 2

## DEVELOPMENT CHARGE RESERVE FUND STATEMENT <br> HALDIMAND COUNTY FOR THE YEAR <br> $\qquad$

| LISTING OF CREDITS UNDER DCA, 1997, s.38 BY HOLDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Credit Holder | Applicable DC <br> Reserve Fund | Credit Balance - <br> Beginning of <br> Year | Additional <br> Credits Granted <br> During Year | Credits Used by <br> Holder During <br> Year | Credit Balance - <br> End of Year |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX E LOCAL SERVICE POLICY

## APPENDIX E - LOCAL SERVICE POLICY

## HALDIMAND COUNTY <br> GENERAL POLICY GUIDELINES ON LOCAL SERVICE FUNDING FOR ROAD-RELATED, STORMWATER MANAGEMENT, WATER AND SANITARY SEWER WORKS

## 1. Local and Collector Roads

1.1. Local and collector roads internal and external to development - Direct developer responsibility under s. 59 of the DCA (as a local service).

## 2. Traffic Signals

2.1. Traffic signalization associated with development - Direct developer responsibility under section 59 of the DCA (as a local service).

## 3. Intersection Improvements

3.1. New roads (collector and local) and road (collector) improvements - Direct developer responsibility under section 59 of the DCA (as a local service).
3.2. Intersections improvements within specific developments and all works necessary to connect to entrances (private and specific subdivision) to the roadway - Direct developer responsibility under s. 59 of the DCA (as a local service).
3.3. Intersections with provincial highways - Direct developer responsibility under section 59 of the DCA (as a local service).
4. Streetlights
4.1. Streetlights within specific developments - Direct developer responsibility under s .59 of the DCA (as a local service).

## 5. Sidewalks

5.1. Sidewalks related to section 1 Roads - Direct developer responsibility through local service provisions (s. 59 of the DCA).
5.2. Other sidewalks external to development (which are a local service within the area to which the plan relates) - Direct developer responsibility as a local service provision (under s. 59 of the DCA)
6. Bike Routes/Bike Lanes/Bike Paths/Multi-Use Trails/Naturalized Walkways
6.1. Bike lanes, within road allowance, internal to development - Direct developer responsibility under s. 59 of the DCA (as a local service).
6.2. Bike paths/multi-use trails/naturalized walkways internal to development - Direct developer responsibility under s. 59 of the DCA (as a local service).

## 7. Noise Abatement Measures

7.1. Internal to Development - Direct developer responsibility though local service provisions (s. 59 of DCA)
8. Traffic Control Systems
8.1. If related to section 1 Roads - Direct developer responsibility under s. 59 of the DCA.
9. Land Acquisition for Road Allowances
9.1. Land Acquisition for collector or local roads - Dedication under the Planning Act subdivision provision (s.51) through development lands.

## 10. Land Acquisition for Easements

10.1. Easement costs internal to subdivisions - Direct developer responsibility under s. 59 of the DCA.

## 11. Storm Water Management

11.1. Quality and Quantity Works, direct developer responsibility through local service provisions (s. 59 of the DCA).
11.2. Oversizing of stormwater management works for development external to developments will be subject to best efforts clauses by area municipality.

## 12. Water

12.1. Trunk watermains external to subdivisions - Direct developer responsibility under s. 59 of the DCA.
12.2. Localized mains and pumping stations within or external to the subdivision - Direct developer responsibility under s. 59 of the DCA.
12.3. Connections to trunk mains and pumping stations to service specific areas, to be direct developer responsibility.

## 13. Sanitary Sewer

13.1. Trunk sanitary sewers external to subdivisions - Direct developer responsibility under s. 59 of the DCA.
13.2. Connections to trunk mains and pumping stations to service specific areas, to be direct developer responsibility.
13.3. Localized sanitary sewer works and pumping stations - Direct developer responsibility under s. 59 of the DCA.

DEVELOPMENT CHARGE VS, LOCAL SERVICE WORKS


## APPENDIX F PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT CHARGE BY-LAW

# THE CORPORATION OF HALDIMAND COUNTY 

By-law No /14

Being a By-Law to establish Development Charges on Lands within The Corporation of Haldimand County.

WHEREAS Section 2(1) of the Development Charges Act, 1997, S.O. 1997, Chapter 27 (hereinafter may be referred to as the "Act") authorizes municipalities to pass a by-law for the imposition of development charges against land to pay for increased capital costs required because of increased needs for services arising from development of the area to which this bylaw applies;

AND WHEREAS The Corporation of Haldimand County, as required by Section 10 of the Act, has undertaken and completed a development charge background study regarding the anticipated amount, type and location of development; the increase in needs for services; estimated capital costs to provide for such increased needs, including the long term capital and operating costs for capital infrastructure required for the services;

AND WHEREAS as required by Section 11 of the Act, this by-law is being enacted within one year of the April 2014 completion of the said development charge background study, titled Haldimand County Development Charge Background Study, April 2014 prepared by Watson \& Associates Economists Ltd;

AND WHEREAS the Council of The Corporation of Haldimand County, at a Council Meeting on May 20, 2014, has adopted the recommendations for development charges policies in Haldimand County;

AND WHEREAS in advance of passing this by-law the Council of The Corporation of Haldimand County has given notice of and held a public meeting on April 28, 2014, in accordance with Section 12(1)(b) of the Development Charges Act, 1997 regarding its proposals for this development charges by-law;

AND WHEREAS the Council of The Corporation of Haldimand County has heard all persons who applied to be heard no matter whether in objection to, or in support of, the said by-law;

AND WHEREAS the Council of The Corporation of Haldimand County has adopted the Development Charges Background Study by resolution on May 20, 2014, and thereby indicates the intent to ensure that the increase in the need for services attributable to the anticipated development will be met, and the intent that the future excess capacity identified in this study shall be paid for by the development charges or similar charges;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of Haldimand County enacts as follows:

## Definitions

1) In this By-law,
a) "Act" means the Development Charges Act, 1997, S.O. 1997, c. 27, as amended, or any successor thereto;
b) "agricultural land" means land which is zoned for agricultural or farming uses in the Zoning By-Laws of The Corporation of Haldimand County;
c) "apartment unit" means any dwelling unit in an apartment building and will include a garden suite or granny flat;
d) "apartment building" means a building consisting of more than one dwelling unit with a private bathroom and kitchen facilities in each unit and which is not a single detached dwelling, a semi-detached dwelling, a farm help house, a multiple unit dwelling, a mobile home or a temporary residential structure;
e) "accessory apartment" means a residential dwelling unit within a single or semidetached dwelling not exempted within Section 7 of this By-law and in the case of a mixed use development, a residential dwelling unit which is secondary to the main use of the building. An accessory apartment, as defined, shall be considered an apartment unit;
f) "bedroom" includes any room which can be used as sleeping quarters but does not include a kitchen, bathroom, living room or dining room;
g) "benefiting area" means an area defined by a map, plan or legal description in a front-ending agreement as an area that will receive a benefit from the construction of a service;
h) "capital costs" includes the capital costs defined in Section 5(3) of the Act;
i) "commercial" means any non-residential development not defined under "institutional" or "industrial";
j) "Council" means the Council of The Corporation of Haldimand County;
k) "County" means the body corporate continued as a Municipality under the name "The Corporation of Haldimand County;
I) "development" means the construction, erection or placing of one or more buildings or structures on land or the making of an addition or alteration to a building or structure that has the effect of increasing the size or usability thereof, and includes redevelopment;
m) "development charge" or "development charges" means charges imposed with respect to this by-law;
n) "dwelling unit" means any part of a building or structure used, designed or intended to be used as a domestic establishment in which one or more persons may sleep and are provided with bathroom and kitchen facilities for their exclusive use, and shall exclude suites contained within a motel or hotel;
o) "farm building" means that part of a bona fide farm operation encompassing barns, silos, and other ancillary development to an agricultural use, but excluding a residential, commercial, and industrial use;
p) "farm help house" means a residential building constructed on a farm and not attached to any other building or structure, with sleeping, cooking, living and sanitary facilities, and used for seasonal, interim or occasional residential uses by farm labourers;
q) "garden suite" or "granny flat" means a building containing one (1) dwelling unit where the unit is detached from and ancillary to an existing single detached dwelling or semi-detached dwelling on the lands and such building may be designed to be portable;
r) "grade" means the average level of proposed or finished ground adjoining, at all exterior walls, a building containing one or more dwelling units, a non-residential building or structure or a building or structure with both residential and nonresidential uses;
s) "gross floor area" means the total area of all floors above grade of a building containing one or more dwelling units or non-residential building or structure of a building, or structure with both residential and non-residential uses measured between the outside surfaces of exterior walls, or between the outside surfaces of exterior walls and the center line of party walls dividing the dwelling unit, or non-residential building or structure or other portion of a building;
t) "growth-related net capital costs" means the portion of the net capital costs of services that is reasonably attributable to the need of such net capital costs that results, or will result from development, in all or a defined part of the Corporation of Haldimand County;
u) "hotel" (and "motel") means a commercial establishment offering lodging to travellers and sometimes to permanent residents, and may include other services such as restaurants, meeting rooms and stores that are available to the general public;
v) "industrial" means lands, buildings or structures used or designed or intended for use for manufacturing, processing, fabricating or assembly of raw goods, warehousing or bulk storage of goods, and includes office uses and the sale of commodities to the general public where such uses are accessory to an industrial use, but does not include the sale of commodities to the general public through a warehouse club or public storage facilities;
w) "institutional" means a building used for, or in connection with religious, charitable, cultural, educational, governmental, health or welfare purposes and shall include but not be limited to, public and private non-commercial schools, nursery schools, special care facilities or day care facilities;
x) "local board" means a school board, public utility commission, transportation commission, public library board, board of park management, local board of
health, board of commissioners of police, planning board, or any other board, commission, committee, or local authority established or exercising any power of authority under any general or special Act with respect to any of the affairs or purposes, including school purposes, of The Corporation of Haldimand County;
y) "manufactured home" means a manufactured home as referenced within the Ontario Building Code;
z) "mixed use development" means a building or structure in which there are or will be both residential and non-residential uses, but does not include a hotel, motel, resort development, guest house, boarding house, nursing home, retirement living multiple unit dwelling or home for the aged;
aa) "mobile home" means a mobile home as referenced in the Ontario Building Code;
bb) "multiple unit dwelling" means all dwellings other than single detached dwelling units, semi-detached dwelling units and apartments;
cc) "net capital cost" means the capital cost less capital grants, subsidies and other contributions made to Haldimand County or that the Council of The Corporation of Haldimand County anticipates will be made, including conveyances or payments under Sections 41, 42, 51 and 53 of the Planning Act, as amended; and any successor thereto in respect of the capital cost;
dd) "non-residential" means a building, other than for residential use, and, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, includes commercial, industrial, institutional and retail buildings;
ee) "place of worship" means a building or structure that is used for worship and religious practices and purposes, including related administrative, religious teaching, assembly and associated spaces, but does not include portions of such building or structure used for any commercial use, including but not limited to daycare facilities;
ff) "Residential" means lands, buildings or structures of any kind whatsoever used, designed or intended to be used as living accommodations for one or more individuals, and shall include, but is not limited to;
i) single detached dwelling unit,
ii) semi-detached dwelling unit,
iii) an apartment dwelling unit,
iv) a multiple dwelling unit,
v) accessory apartment,
vi) farm help houses,
vii) individual mobile homes,
viii) individual manufactured homes,
ix) temporary residential structures,
x) individual dwelling units in semi-detached dwellings, multiple dwellings, and apartment units,
xi) semi-detached dwellings, multiple unit dwellings and apartments in buildings or structures which are not exclusively used for residential purposes.
gg) "retail" means a building used for, or in connection with the offering or sale of goods, wares, merchandise, substances, articles or things directly to the consumer;
hh) "semi-detached dwelling" means a residential building consisting of two dwelling units attached by a vertical wall or walls;
ii) "services" means services designated in Schedule "A" of this by-law or designated in front-ending agreement;
ji) "single detached dwelling" means a residential building containing one dwelling unit and not attached to another building or structure, whether or not the sole single detached dwelling is situated on a single lot, and includes manufactured homes; and
kk) "temporary residential structure" means a residential building containing one dwelling unit and not attached to another building or structure, and constructed on a lot of record on which another single detached dwelling or semi-detached dwelling is situated.

## Scope of By-Law

2) This by-law applies to all of the land within The Corporation of Haldimand County.

## Development Charges

3) The development of land, buildings or structures for residential and non-residential uses have required or will require the provision, enlargement, expansion of the services referenced in Schedule "A" of this by-law.
4) The development of land is subject to a development charge where the development requires any one or more of the following:
a) the passing of zoning by-law or an amendment thereto under Section 34 of the Planning Act, as amended or any successor thereto
b) the approval of a minor variance under Section 45 of the Planning Act, as amended or any successor thereto
c) a conveyance of land to which a by-law passed under subsection 50(7) of the Planning Act applies, as amended or any successor thereto
d) the approval of a plan of subdivision under Section 51 of the Planning Act, as amended or any successor thereto
e) a consent under Section 53 of the Planning Act, as amended or any successor thereto
f) the approval of a site plan and agreement under Section 41 of the Planning Act
g) the approval of a description under Section 50 of the Condominium Act, as amended or any successor thereto, or
h) the issuing of a permit under the Building Code Act, as amended or any successor thereto, in relation to a building or structure

## Calculation of Development Charges

5) The development charge with respect to the development of any land, buildings and structures are to be calculated and collected in accordance with the rates set out in Schedule "B" and Schedule "C", subject to the other provisions of this By-Law, calculated as follows:
a) in the case of residential development, or the residential portion of a mixed-use development, based upon the number and type of dwelling units;
b) in the case of non-residential development, or the non-residential portion of a mixed-use development, based upon the gross floor area of such development;
6) Subject to the provisions of this by-law, development charges against land are to be calculated and collected in accordance with the rates set out in Schedule "B" and Schedule "C" in respect of the services set out in Schedule "A" of this by-law.
a) Mixed-Use Development
i) Where a development has both residential and non-residential uses, development charges will be assessed against both uses, to the extent of their respective uses of a building or structure, and as though the uses were separate.
b) Redevelopment
i) Development charges payable in a redevelopment shall be calculated by reducing the development charges payable by the maximum number of former residential units or by the maximum non-residential former gross floor area (as the case may be) which had been on the same property within ten (10) years of an action or approval required
in Section 4 of this by-law, but has since been demolished. Any such reduction shall not produce a refund.
c) Change of Use
i) Where an existing non-residential building or structure is converted in whole or in part to residential uses, the residential development charge payable for the dwelling units created shall be reduced by an amount equal to the non-residential development charges previously paid for the development being converted, but any such reduction shall not produce a refund. No credit will be provided for services excluded from the non-residential charge.
ii) Where an existing residential building is converted in whole or in part to non-residential uses, the non-residential development charge payable for the gross floor area so converted shall be reduced by an amount equal to any residential development charges previously paid for the residential building being converted, and if a dwelling unit is only partially converted the reduction shall be in proportion to the extent of the conversion, but any such reduction shall not produce a refund.
iii) Development charges assessable for the conversion of uses in a mixed-use building or structure shall be determined in accordance with subsections (c) i) and (c) ii) of this by-law, as applicable.

## Exemptions from Development Charges

7) As provided for in Section 2(3) of the Act and Ontario Regulation 82/98, the following are not subject to development charges under the Act and this by-law if the only effect of an action referred to in Section 4 of this by-law is to:
a) permit the enlargement of an existing dwelling unit; or
b) permit the creation of up to two additional dwelling units, as prescribed, subject to the prescribed restrictions, in prescribed classes of existing residential buildings. The said prescribed matters from Ontario Regulation 82/98 are attached as Schedule "D" to this by-law.
8) As set out in section 4 of the Act, if a development includes the enlargement of the gross floor area of an existing industrial building, the amount of the development charge that is payable in respect of the enlargement is determined as follows:
a) the exemption for industrial enlargement provided for in this subsection shall apply only to the enlargement of the gross floor area of an existing industrial building; for this subsection, an "existing industrial building" shall have the same meaning as defined in O. Reg. 82/98 under the Act, as amended or successor thereto, and at the time of application for a building permit, shall have fifty (50) per cent or more of its gross floor area occupied by industrial uses;
b) such enlargement must be attached to, or within, the existing industrial building, but shall not be attached by means only of a tunnel, bridge, passageway, shared below grade connection, foundation, footing, shared connected roof or parking facility;
(c) both the enlargement and existing industrial building must be constructed on lands owned by the same beneficial owner;
(d) the enlargement shall be for a use for, or in connection with, an industrial purpose as set out in this By-law on lands owned by the same beneficial owner;
(e) the enlargement shall be for the exclusive use of an existing occupant whose occupancy equals fifty (50) percent or more of the total gross floor area of the existing structure immediately prior to the issuance of the subject expansion building permit;
(f) the building permit for the construction of the enlargement is to be issued not less than ten (10) years from the date of occupancy permit issuance for the original building, or occupancy permit issuance for the last building permit for an enlargement on the property;
(g) for the purposes of the calculation of the applicable development charge, the gross floor area of an existing industrial building shall be calculated as it existed prior to the first enlargement in respect of that building for which an exemption under section 4 of the Act is sought;
(h) for the purposes of the calculation of the applicable development charge, the enlargement shall be measured to also include all prior enlargements from the existing industrial building as determined in clause 6(c)1;
(i) if the area of the enlargement as determined in clause 8(h) above is fifty (50) per cent or less than the gross floor area determined in clause 8(g) above, the amount of the development charge in respect of the enlargement is zero (0) dollars; and
(j) if the area of the enlargement as determined in clause 8(h) above is more than fifty (50) per cent of the gross floor area determined in clause 8(g) above, the amount of the development charge in respect of the enlargement is the amount of the development charge that would otherwise be payable less that portion related to any gross floor area of the enlargement which is required to bring the cumulative amount of enlargements to fifty (50) percent.
9) Notwithstanding any other provision of this by-law, the following types of development are exempted from any development charges under this by-law:
a) development which is or would be classified under the Assessment Act as exempt from taxation for realty taxes such as a place of worship;
b) any development undertaken by:
i)
the County,
ii) any board within the meaning of subsection 1(1) of the Education Act, as amended or any successor thereto, or
iii) any local board of the Corporation of Haldimand County.
c) farm operation constructed for bona fide farm uses.

## Collection of Development Charges

10) Subject to the provisions of this section, development charges are payable at the time of the issuance of a building permit.
a) Prepayment or Deferral Agreements
i) Council may authorize, in accordance with Section 27 of the Act, an agreement with a person to permit, on such terms as Council may require, the payment of a development charge before or after it is otherwise payable under this by-law.
b) Services in Lieu Agreements
i) Council may agree, in accordance with Sections 38, 39, 40 and 41 of the Act, to allow a person to perform work that relates to a service to which this development charge by-law relates, in return for a credit towards the development charges payable by the said person, upon terms specified by Council in its agreement with the person. No such credit shall exceed the total development charges payable by the person.
c) Front-Ending Agreements
i) Council may authorize a front-ending agreement in accordance with the provisions of Part III of the Act, upon such terms as Council may require, in respect of the development of land.

## Administration of By-law

11) This by-law shall be administered by the Treasurer of The Corporation of Haldimand County.

## Indexing

12) As authorized in paragraph ten of Section 5(1) of the Act, the development charges provided for in this by-law shall be adjusted annually by the percentage change during the preceding year, as recorded in the Statistics Canada Quarterly, Construction Price Statistics, Non-residential Index for the Institutional Building category in the Toronto area. This adjustment shall take place as follows:
a) the initial adjustment shall be one year from the date of passage of this by-law, and
b) thereafter, adjustment shall be made each year on the anniversary of the date of passage of this by-law.
13) The Treasurer shall, in each year prior to May 31st, commencing May 31, 2015 for the 2014 year, furnish to Council a statement in respect of the separate reserve funds required by the Act for each Service to which this by-law relates, for the prior year, containing the information set out in Section 43 of the Act and Section 12 of Ontario Regulation 82/98.

## Schedules

Schedule "A" - List of Services
Schedule "B" - Schedule of Residential Development Charges by Service and Unit Type
Schedule "C" - Schedule of Non-Residential Development Charges by Service and Per Square Foot

Schedule "D" - Table from O. Reg. 82/98 referred to in Section 7

## Short Title

14) This by-law may be referred to as the "2014 Haldimand County Development Charges By-Law".

## Repeal of Previous By-Law

15) By-Law No. 1029/09 of The Corporation of the Haldimand County, as amended, be hereby repealed.

Date By-Law in Force
16) This by-law shall come into force and effect on the day following the date of its passing and enactment

READ A FIRST AND SECOND TIME THIS __t DAY OF MAY, 2014.

READ A THIRD TIME AND FINALLY PASSED THIS __ ${ }^{\text {th }}$ DAY OF MAY, 2014.

## MAYOR

## CLERK

## Schedule "A"

## Haldimand County Components of Service

## Municipal Wide Services

1.0 Roads and Related
2.0 Public Works - Buildings \& Fleet
3.0 Parking Spaces
4.0 Fire Protection Services
5.0 Leisure Services
6.0 Library Board
7.0 General Government
8.0 Cemeteries
9.0 Ambulance

## Urban Services

10.0 Stormwater Drainage and Control Services
11.0 Wastewater Services
12.0 Water Services

Schedule "B"
Residential Development Charges by Service and Unit Type

| Service | RESIDENTIAL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single and SemiDetached Dwelling | Apartments - 2 Bedrooms + | Apartments Bachelor and 1 Bedroom | Other Multiples |
| Municipal Wide Services: |  |  |  |  |
| Roads and Related | 1,325 | 906 | 625 | 1,149 |
| Public Works - Buildings \& Fleet | 198 | 135 | 93 | 172 |
| Parking Senices | 76 | 52 | 36 | 66 |
| Fire Protection Services | 889 | 608 | 419 | 771 |
| Leisure Services | 3,909 | 2,672 | 1,843 | 3,389 |
| Library Board | 650 | 444 | 306 | 564 |
| General Government | 192 | 131 | 91 | 166 |
| Cemeteries | 111 | 76 | 52 | 96 |
| Ambulance | 115 | 79 | 54 | 100 |
| Total Municipal Wide Services | 7,465 | 5,103 | 3,519 | 6,473 |
| Urban Services |  |  |  |  |
| Stormwater Drainage and Control Services | 259 | 177 | 122 | 225 |
| Wastewater Services | 1,773 | 1,212 | 836 | 1,537 |
| Water Services | 1,542 | 1,054 | 727 | 1,337 |
| Total Urban Services | 3,574 | 2,443 | 1,685 | 3,099 |
| GRAND TOTAL RURAL AREA | 7,465 | 5,103 | 3,519 | 6,473 |
| GRAND TOTAL URBAN AREA | 11,039 | 7,546 | 5,204 | 9,572 |

## Schedule "C"

Non-Residential Development Charges by Service and Per Square Foot of GFA

| Service | NON-RESIDENTIAL |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | (per ft <br>  <br> of Greass Floor <br> Area |
| Municipal Wide Services: | 0.58 |
| Roads and Related | 0.08 |
| Public Works - Buildings \& Fleet | 0.04 |
| Parking Services | 0.38 |
| Fire Protection Services | 0.37 |
| Leisure Services | 0.06 |
| Library Board | 0.09 |
| General Government | 0.05 |
| Cemeteries | 0.05 |
| Ambulance | $\mathbf{1 . 7 0}$ |
| Total Municipal Wide Services | 0.10 |
| Urban Services | 0.55 |
| Stormwater Drainage and Control Services | 0.48 |
| Wastewater Services | $\mathbf{1 . 1 3}$ |
| Water Services | 1.70 |
| Total Urban Services | 2.83 |
| GRAND TOTAL RURAL AREA |  |
| GRAND TOTAL URBAN AREA |  |

## Schedule "D"

TABLE FROM O.REG. 82/98 REFERRED TO IN SECTION 7

| Name of Class of |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Residential | Description of Class of <br> Residential Building |
| Building |  |

Residential buildings, each
Single Detached
Dwellings
Semi-Detached
Dwellings or Row
Dwellings

Residential buildings, each
of which contains a single dwelling unit, that have one other parts, attached to other buildings

A residential building not in Other residential another class of residential buildings building described in this table
Maximum Number
of Additional
Dwelling Units $\quad$ Restrictions

The total gross floor area of the additional dwelling
Two unit or units must be less than or equal to the gross floor area of the

The gross floor area of the additional dwelling unit must be less than or equal to the gross floor area of the dwelling unit already in the building.

The gross floor area of the additional dwelling unit must be less than or One equal to the gross floor area of the smallest dwelling unit already in the building.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 2031$ (B) population and employment forecast as per Growth Plan Amendment No. 2

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The population figures used in the calculation of the 2014 development charge exclude the net Census undercount, which is estimated at approximately 4\%.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Primary, industrial, commercial and institutional employment based on Statistics Canada custom employment data. Work at Home data estimated by Watson \& Associates, based on historical employment trends for the County.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Statistics Canada defines "No Fixed Place of Work" (NFPOW) employees as, "persons who do not go from home to the same work place location at the beginning of each shift. Such persons include building and landscape contractors, travelling salespersons, independent truck drivers, etc.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ with 7+ year life time
    *same percentage as service component to which it pertains computer equipment excluded throughout

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ with a 7+ year life time
    2 same percentage as service component to which it pertains

[^6]:    1. The Census PPU has been adjusted to account for the downward PPU trend which has been recently experienced in both new and older units, largely due to the aging of the population
    2. Includes townhomes and apartments in duplexes.
    3. Includes bachelor, 1 bedroom and 2 bedroom+ apartments.

    Note: Does not include Statistics Canada data classified as 'Other'
    Note: Does not include institutional population

[^7]:    | 10 Year Average | $2004-2013$ |
    | :--- | ---: |
    | Quantity Standard | 0.0139 |
    | Quality Standard | $\$ 46,805$ |
    | Service Standard | $\$ 651$ |


    | DC Amount（before deductions） | 10 Year |
    | :--- | ---: |
    | Forecast Population | 4,854 |
    | $\$$ per Capita | $\$ 651$ |
    | Eligible Amount | $\$ 3,157,964$ |

[^8]:    | Population | 44,589 | 45,037 | 45,212 | 45,234 | 45,155 | 45,074 | 45,069 | 44,874 | 44,779 | 44,840 |
    | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
    | Per Capita Standard | 0.0039 | 0.0039 | 0.0038 | 0.0038 | 0.0039 | 0.0037 | 0.0037 | 0.0037 | 0.0038 | 0.0038 |

[^9]:    

    | DC Amount (before deductions) | 10 Year |
    | :--- | ---: |
    | Forecast Population | 4,854 |
    | $\$$ per Capita | $\$ 418$ |
    | Eligible Amount | $\$ 2,030,283$ |

[^10]:    
    

    | DC Amount (before deductions) | 10 Year |
    | :--- | ---: |
    | Forecast Population | 4,854 |
    | \$ per Capita | $\$ 51$ |
    | Eligible Amoun |  |

